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#### Abstract

A spectrophotometric study concerning the interactoin between iodine and bromine with tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI), tetrabutyl- ammonium bromide (TBABr) and cryptand 222 (C222) has been performed in dichloromethane solution at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The results are indicative of the formation of $\mathrm{TBA}^{+} X_{3}^{-}$and $\mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{X}^{+} X_{3}^{-}$through equilibrium and formation of $\mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{X}^{+} X^{-}$through nonequilibrium reactions. The stability constants of the equilibrium reactions were evaluated from the computer fitting of the absorbance-mole ratio data. It was found that iodine complexes were more stable than bromine ones. Comparision of the spectra of $I_{2}$-TBAI with the $I_{2}$-C222 mixtures indicates that the isosbestic points of the two systems are not identical. A similar behavior is observed for the corresponding bromine spectra. Comparision of the spectra of iodine complexes with those of bromine also indicates that in the spectra of iodine complexes 1) the wavelength of the isosbestic point is less than $\lambda_{\max }$ of the free iodine and 2) addition of C222 or TBAI decreases the absorption intensity at $\lambda_{\max }$ of iodine. However, in each case the reverse is observed for the spectra of bromine complexes. The possible reasons for the observed differences in various spectra are explained.


KEY WORDS: Cryptand 222, Tetrabutylammonium iodide, Tetrabutyl-ammonium bromide, Spectrophotometry, Iodine, Bromine, Dichloromethane.

## INTRODUCTION

Since the first synthesis of crown ethers [1] and cryptands [2], there has been an intensive amount of research on the thermodynamics and kinetics of
complexation of these ligands with various cations in a wide variety of solvent systems [3]. However, the complexation of macrocyclic ligands with neutral

[^0]molecules is relatively new in the field of macrocyclic chemistry. Interest in molecular complexes of macrocyclic compounds is strongly stimulated by their possible applications in different areas such as separation processes, biomimetic receptors, catalytic reactions and conversion of chemical energy to optical or electronic signals [4]. Despite the interesting features of macrocycle complexation with neutral molecules, there are few studies in this field [4]. Such studies would be helpful in identifying and understanding the driving forces of reactions and in the characterization of molecular complexes.

We have recently commenced a spectrophotometric study of molecular complexes of crown ethers with different molecules [5-10]. In this paper we report the results of a spectroscopic study of complexation of iodine and bromine with tetrabutylammonium halides and C222 in dichlormethane solution. It must be noticed that there are some simillarity between TBAX- $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C} 222-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ complexes [5-10]. So by comparing the two systems, some special cryptand effects can be determined.

## EXPERIMENTAL

C222 from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) was recrystallized from reagent grade $n$-hexane and dried under vacuum over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

Reagent grade iodine, bromine, tetrabutyammonium iodide (TBAI), tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBABr) and dichloromethane, all from Merck were of highest purity available and used without any further purification. All UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a Philips PUB700 spectrphotometer and the absorbance measurments were made with a Philips PU875 spectrophotometer at $25 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


C222

## RESULTS AND DISUSSION

## Iodine complexes

Absorption spectra of $4.73 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ of iodine solutions in the presence of increasing quantities of TBAI and

C222 are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. As can be seen, addition of TBAI or C222 to iodine solutions results in two strong absorption maxima at 292 and 364 nm . These bands are well known to be characteristic for the formation of triiodide ion [11-15]. The existence of isosbestic point indicates that this ion is formed through am equilibrium reaction [16]. As the $1: 1\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}: \mathrm{TBAI}\right)$ and $2: 1 \quad\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}: \mathrm{C} 222\right)$ stoichiometry of the reactions are confirmed by mole-ratio method (Figs. 3 and 4) [17], the corresponding equations can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{TBAI}+\mathrm{I}_{2} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{TBA}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C} 222+2 \mathrm{I}_{2} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} 22 \mathrm{I}^{+} . \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the formation constants of the above molecular complexes, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}$, from the absorbance-mole ratio data, a non-linear least square curve-fitting program KINFIT was used [18]. The program is based on the iterative adjustment of the calculated values of absorbance to the observed values by using either the Wentworth matrix technique [19] or the Powell procedure [20]. Adjustable parameters are $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\in$, where $\in$ is the molar absorptivity of iodine.

The observed absorbance of a solution at the $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of iodine is given by:
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{obs}}=\in \mathrm{b}\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]$
The mass balance equation can be written as
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}}=\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]+\left[\mathrm{TBA}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}}=\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]+2\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} 22 \mathrm{I}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]$
$\mathrm{C}_{\text {TBAI }}=[\mathrm{TBAI}]+\left[\mathrm{TBA}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C} 222}=[\mathrm{C} 222]+\left[\mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{I}^{+} . \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]$
The formation constant of the complex is equal to
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=\left[\mathrm{TBA}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{TBAI}]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=\left[\mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{I}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{C} 222]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]$
Substitution of Equations (4) and (5 ) into Equation (6) and rearrangement yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]^{2}+\left[1+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{TBAI}}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}}=0\right.  \tag{7A}\\
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]^{3}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}\left[2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C} 222}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I} 2}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]^{2}+\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I} 2}=0 \tag{7B}
\end{align*}
$$

The free iodine concentration, $\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]$ were evaluated from Equation (7) by means of a Newton - Raphson procedure. Once the value of $\left[I_{2}\right]$ has been obtained, the


Fig. 1: Absorption spectra of $4.73 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ of iodine in dichloromethane in the presence of various concentrations of TBAI. The TBAI: $I_{2}$ mole ratios from bottom to the top spectrum are $0.00,0.61,1.21,1.83$.


Fig. 2: Absorption spectra of $4.73 \times 10^{-5} M$ of iodine in dichloromethane in the presence of various concentrations of C222. The C222: $I_{2}$ mole ratios from bottom to the top spectrum are 0.00, 0.35, 0.70, 1.04.
corresponding absorbances ( $\mathrm{A}_{\text {cal }}$ ), were calculated from the estimated value of $\in$ at the current iteration step of the program. Refinement of the parameters is continued until the sum of squares $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{obs}}-\mathrm{A}_{\text {cal }}\right)$ is minimizeid. The output of KINFIT program comprises the refined parameters, the sum-of-squares and the standard deviation of the data. The determined $\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}$ values by this method for TBAI-I $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and C222- $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ complexes were


Fig. 3: Plot of absorbance vs. TBAI/I $I_{2}$ mole ratio in dichloromethane solution obtained at 510 nm and $25^{\circ}$.


Fig. 4: Plot of absorbance vs. C222/I $I_{2}$ mole ratio in dichloromthane solution obtained at 510 nm and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$5.95 \pm 0.05$ and $6.8 \pm 0.12$ respectively. A typical curve fitting is shown in Fig. 5.

The spectra of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$-TBAI mixtures (Fig.1) show that the isosbestic point is located at 474 nm . However the corresponding value for the $\mathrm{I}_{2}$-C222 mixtures is 450 nm . The difference in isosbestic points can be attributed to the difference in $\in$ values of $\mathrm{TBA}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{C}_{2} 22 \mathrm{I}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$[16]. The difference also originates from the various effects of $\mathrm{TBA}^{+}$and $\mathrm{C}_{2} 22 \mathrm{I}^{+}$on the absorption intensity of $\mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}$ion. It must be noticed that TBAI and C222 do not have any absorption in the visible region and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ is common in both
systems (Equations 1 and 2).
It is interesting to note that the addition of excess C 222 to the yellow solution of $\mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{I}^{+} . \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$will result in its fading. In contrast the TBAI-I $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ system does not show such a behaviour; i.e. in the presence of excess TBAI the yellow color of $\mathrm{TBA}^{+} I_{3}{ }^{-}$does not change. It seems that macrocyclic effect as well as soft-soft interactions [21-24] play important roles in these different behaviors. In fact the strong tendecy of C222 for inclusion of $\mathrm{I}^{+}$acts as a strong driving force. This force causes the conversion of $\mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}$to $\mathrm{I}^{+}$and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$through the following disproporation reaction.
$\mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{I}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$(yellow) $+\mathrm{C} 222 \leftrightarrow 2 \mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{I}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{( }$(colorless)
Both $\mathrm{I}^{+}$and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$do not show any considerable absorption in the visible region [5-10]. So the above reaction has a colorless product.

Keeping in mind that TBAI does not have any special effect on the $\mathrm{TBA}^{+} I_{3}^{-}$, we could not observe any reaction between TBAI and $\mathrm{TBA}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$. Consequently no color change is observed in the presence of excess TBAI.

## Bromine complexes

The existence of an isosbestic point in the absorption spectra of $\mathrm{TBABr}-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ mixtures (Fig. 6) proves the presence of an equilibrium reaction between the components. The $1: 1$ stoichiometry of the reaction is confirmed by the mole ratio method (Fig. 7). So the interaction between TBABr and $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ can be shown by the following chemical equation:
$\mathrm{TBABr}+\mathrm{Br}_{2} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{TBA}^{+} \mathrm{Br}_{3}^{-}$
The equilibrium constant of the above reaction was also evaluated by computer fitting of the absorbance mole ratio data. The value determined was $5.5 \pm 0.01$.

Absorption spectra of $2.42 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ bromine solution in the presence of increasing quantities of C222 are shown in Fig. 8. As can be seen, the initial part of the spectra are simillar to that of $\mathrm{TBABr}-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ mixtures. So it can be concluded that the two systems have the same product and in both systems $\mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$is formed through the following equilibrium reactions:
$\mathrm{TBABr}+\mathrm{Br}_{2} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{TBA}^{+} \mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$
$\mathrm{C} 222+2 \mathrm{Br}_{2} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{Br}^{+} \mathrm{Br}_{3}^{-}$


Fig. 5: Computer fit of the absorbance vs. C222/I $I_{2}$ in dichloromethane obstained at 510nm and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : ( $x$ ) experimental point; (o) calculated Point


Fig. 6: Absorption spectra of $2.42 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ of bromine in dichloromethane in the presence of various concentration of TBABr. The TBABr: Br $r_{2}$ mole ratios from bottom to the top are: 0.00, 0.03, 0.05, 0.08, 0.11, 0.13.

However, at higher concentrations of C222, not only the isosbestic point is broken, but also the spectra shift to the UV region and the solution color fades. This means that at high $\mathrm{C} 222 / \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ mole ratio, a new nonequilibrium


Fig. 7: Plot of absorbance vs. TBABr/Br $r_{2}$ in dichloromethane solution obtained at 405 nm and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Wavelength (nm)
Fig. 8: Absorption spectra of $2.42 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ of bromine in dichlromethane in the presence of various concentrations of C222: $1,0.00 \mathrm{M} ; 2,2.42 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ; 3,4.84 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ; 4,7.26 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$; $5,2.42 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; 6,2.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$;
reaction occurs. The color fading is indicative of formation of a colorless product. Taking in to account that $\mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{Br}^{+} \mathrm{Br}^{-}$is the most probable colorless adduct,the following reaction can be written.
$\mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{Br}^{+} \mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}($brown $)+\mathrm{C} 222 \leftrightarrow 2 \mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{Br}^{+} \mathrm{Br}^{-}($colorless $)(11)$
Absorbance-mole ratio plot (Fig.9) shows that the above reaction occurs at $\mathrm{C} 222 / \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ mole ratios of more


Fig. 9: Plot of absorbance vs. $\mathrm{C}_{2} 22 / \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ in dichloromethane solution obtained at 405 nm.
than 0.5 . An estimated value of $\log K_{f}$ was obtained by fitting the first part of the recent curve. The value obtained was $6.1 \pm 0.4$.

The isosbestic points of $\mathrm{TBABr}-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C} 222-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ spectra are located at 424 and 432 nm , respectively. Similar to $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ complexes, the difference can be attributed to the various effects of $\mathrm{TBA}^{+}$and $\mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{Br}^{+}$on the absorption intensity of $\mathrm{Br}_{3}^{-}$ion.

## CONCLUSIONS

The final thermodynamic and spectroscopic results are presented in Table 1. According to the data and the previous discussion it can be concluded that:

1) In all systems the following equilibria exists.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C} 222(\text { or TBAX })+2 \mathrm{X}_{2}\left(\text { or } \mathrm{X}_{2}\right) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} 22 \mathrm{X}^{+}\left(\text {or } \mathrm{TBA}^{+}\right) \mathrm{X}_{3} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) The stability of iodine complexes are more than bromine ones. This can be attributed to lower electronegativity and higher donocity of iodine relative to bromine
3) As a result of macrocyclic effect and soft-soft interactions, the excecs C222 causes the following reaction to occur.
$\mathrm{C} 222+\mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{X}^{+} \mathrm{X}_{3}^{-} \leftrightarrow 2 \mathrm{C}_{2} 22 \mathrm{X}^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}$
Due to lack of any special effect in the presence of excess TBAX, a similar reaction does not occur in this case.
TBAX $+\mathrm{TBA}^{+} \mathrm{X}_{3}^{-} \leftrightarrow$ no reaction

Table 1: Spectral and thermodynamic data of iodine and bromine complexes

| System | ${ }^{a} \lambda_{\max }$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{\text {max }}$ | ${ }^{c} \lambda_{\text {IP }}$ | Stoichiometry | Log K $_{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TBAI-I ${ }_{2}$ | 510 | $290-364$ | 474 | $1: 1$ | $5.95 \pm 0.05$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 222-\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 510 | $290-364$ | 450 | $2: 1$ | $6.8 \pm 0.12$ |
| TBABr- $-r_{2}$ | 405 | - | 424 | $1: 1$ | $5.5 \pm 0.01$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 222-\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 405 | - | 432 | $2: 1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.4$ |

a) The maximum wavelength of iodine and bromine in the absence of complexing agents
b) The absorption maximum of resulting complexes
c) The wavelength of isosbestic point
4) $\mathrm{TBA}^{+}$and $\mathrm{C} 222 \mathrm{X}^{+}$have different effects on molar absorption coefficient of $\mathrm{X}_{3}^{-}$. So in the spectra of both the bromine and iodine complexes, the wavelengths of isosbestic points of TBAX- $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C} 222-\mathrm{X}_{2}$ systems are not identical.
5) In the spectra of the bromine complexes the wavelength of isosbestic points are higher than $\lambda_{\max }$ of free bromine. Also in the presence of TBABr or C222 (Figs. 6 and 8 ) the absorption intensity at $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of bromine increases. However the reverse is observed for iodine complexes (Figs. 1 and 2). It seems that such a different behaviour originates from the different kind of transitions in the two systems. In bromine complexes the charge transfer transitions, result in wide bands. The overlap of these bands with bromine spectrum, causes an increase in the absorption intensity at $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of bromine and location of isosbestic point wavelangth ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{IP}}$ ) at wavelengths higher than $\lambda_{\max }$ of the free bromine. But in iodine complexes the charge transfer bands are not wide and the reverse effect is observed.
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