The Effect of LiFePO₄ Coating on Electrochemical Performance of LiMn₂O₄ Cathode Material
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ABSTRACT: LiMn₂O₄ spinel cathode materials have been successfully synthesized by solid-state reaction. Surface of these particles were modified by nanostructured LiFePO₄ via sol gel dip coating method. Synthesized products were characterized by thermally analyzed by Thermogravimetric and Differential Thermal Analysis(TG/DTA), X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), and Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy. The results of electrochemical tests showed that the charge/discharge capacities improved up to 120 mAh/g and charge retention of battery enhanced over 95%. This improved electrochemical performance is caused by LiFePO₄ phosphate layer on surfaces of LiMn₂O₄ cathode particles.
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INTRODUCTION
Since the birth of the lithium ion battery in the early 1990s, its development has been very fast and it has been widely applied as power source for a lot of light and high value electronics due to its significant advantages over traditional rechargeable battery systems[1,2]. Due to its low cost and low toxicity, the spinel LiMn₂O₄, the cathode for Li-ion batteries, has been extensively investigated. The spinel LiMn₂O₄ has a cubic structure with the space group of Fd3m symmetry in which lithium and manganese ions occupy tetrahedral (8a) sites and octahedral (16d) sites, respectively, within a cubic close-packed oxygen array with oxygen ions in 32e sites. Many reports revealed that the spinel LiMn₂O₄ offers a potentially attractive alternative to the presently commercialized LiCoO₂. However, a key problem prohibiting LiMn₂O₄ from commercialization is its severe capacity and cycling performance fading during cycling [3, 4]. Several factors cause capacity fade of spinel LiMn₂O₄, as it had been reported by some investigators [5-11].

1- Dissolution of Mn³⁺. At the end of discharge, the concentration of Mn³⁺ arrives at its highest level. Meanwhile, after cycling or storage, the surface of LiMn₂O₄ is rich in Mn³⁺, contrary to the bulk structure. The Mn³⁺ at the surface may disproportionate according to the following reaction

\[ 2\text{Mn}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{Mn}^{4+} \]

then, Mn^{2+} ions from this reaction, dissolve in the electrolyte solutions.

2- Jahn–Teller effect. At the end of discharge, the Jahn–Teller effect happening at first on the surface of some particles may expand into an overall composition of Li₁₋ₓ[Mnₓ] O₄. Thermodynamically speaking, this system is not really at equilibrium. The phase transition from a cubic into a tetragonal symmetry is a first-order process. Even though this kind of distortion is small,
it is big enough to destroy the structure to form a tetragonal structure, which is low in symmetry and high in disorder.

3- In organic solvents, the highly de-lithiated particles are not stable at the end of discharge; viz. the high oxidation ability of Mn$^{4+}$ will lead to a decomposition of the solvents.

Recent research demonstrated that the importance of surface structural features of electrode materials for their electrochemical performance so, an effective strategy, coating the spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ with organic and inorganic compounds, has been investigated. Jiang et al. [12] coated LiMn$_2$O$_4$ spinel with 2wt. %Li–M–PO$_4$ (M =Co,Ni,Mn) and improved the discharge test showed that the cycling and rate capacities the spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode materials. LiFePO$_4$ due to its potentially low cost, environmental benignness, and the belief that it could have a major impact in electrochemical energy storage, is the subject of many researches. Also, it can be a good candidate for improving electrochemical properties of conventional cathodes like LiMn$_2$O$_4$.

In this study, we coated the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode with nanostuctured LiFePO$_4$ layer. The modified LiMn$_2$O$_4$ can be protected from Mn dissolution, as the LiFePO$_4$ nanostructure is formed on the surface of the spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode. The cycling and rate capacity of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode materials were significantly enhanced by stabilizing the electrode surface with LiFePO$_4$ nanostructure.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Synthesis of LiMn$_2$O$_4$**

Spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ powder was prepared by a solid-state reaction. All starting materials for the synthesis of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ were purchased from merck company. To prepare this spinel, first manganese oxide (II) and lithium hydroxide with molar ratio of 7:3 was mechanically mixed. To achieve a more homogenous mixture and lowering calcification time, the mixture was prepared using a planetary mill with higher power, ball to power ratio of 10:1, and rotation speed of 200 rpm. Milling was stopped after 15 min and the obtained powder was heated in an electrical tube furnace for 5 hours. The heating rate of the furnace was 10 $^\circ$C min$^{-1}$. It must be mentioned that the other parameters of the mill was supposed as constant.

**Synthesis of LiFePO$_4$ particles and nanostructure layers**

Sol-gel synthesis is a low temperature, wet chemical approach, which is often used for the preparation of metal oxides or especially thin film. Standard sol-gel synthesis involves the formation of a sol, i.e., a stable colloidal suspension of solid particles in a solvent, and the gelation of the sol to form a gel consisting of interconnected rigid skeleton with pores made of colloidal particles. The properties of the gel are determined by the particle size and cross-linking ratio. The gel can then be dried to form xerogel, which shows reduced volume. To obtain the final products, all liquids need to be removed from the surface of pores by a heat treatment carried out at elevated temperatures [13].

As x ray diffraction peaks of LiFePO$_4$ thin film layers cannot be detected due to low weight percentage, first we synthesized phosphate powders by sol gel process in determined optimum synthesis condition. Stoichiometric amounts of lithium phosphate (Li$_3$PO$_4$, Aldrich 33,889-3) and phosphoric acid (H$_3$PO$_4$, Merck, 100563) were dissolved in 200 mL water by stirring for 60 min. separately, citrate iron (III) (Merck, S3657400 219 was dissolved in 300 mL of water by stirring for 60 min. This two solutions were mixed together and obtained a transparent gel which was dried at 70 $^\circ$C for 30h at atmosphere of 99,999% Argon. After grinding with a mortar and pestle, the obtained materials were calcined for 1 h. Calcination temperature was measured using Thermogravimetry and Differential Thermal Analysis (TG/DTA) result of the dried gel. The heating rate was 10 $^\circ$C min$^{-1}$. Same sol was used for sol gel dip coating of samples. Three layers of phosphate coat were applied with drawl speed of 10 mm/min, remaining time of 2 min and up speed of 2 mm/min. After each coating step, the sample was dried 70 $^\circ$C for 30 h. Final coated samples were calcined at 670 $^\circ$C for 1h. Reaction conditions used for preparing different sample of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ electrodes and LiFePO$_4$ sol were listed in Table 1.

**Structural and morphological characterization of synthesized LiMn$_2$O$_4$ powder and LiFePO$_4$ sol**

The thermal decomposition behavior of the gel was examined with a thermo-gravimetric analyzer (TGA, Perkin Elmer, TAC 7/DX) under N$_2$ flow. Structural analysis of the obtained products was carried out using
Table 1: Reaction condition for the preparation of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ and LiFePO$_4$ sol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material used</th>
<th>Mn:Li ratio</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Reaction Temp(°C)</th>
<th>Reaction Time(hrs)</th>
<th>Li Precursor</th>
<th>Fe Precursor</th>
<th>P Precursor</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Molar Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MnO$_2$+ LiOH</td>
<td>7:03</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LiOH.H$_2$O</td>
<td>C$_6$H$_5$FeO$_7$.2H$_2$O</td>
<td>H$_3$PO$_4$</td>
<td>Deionized Water</td>
<td>1:03:02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

an XRD instrument (Philips Expert) with radiation source of Cu-Kα. The surface morphology and energy dispersive spectrometry (EDAX) of the coated particles were taken with a SEM (Philips XL30) microscope.

**Electrochemical measurements**

Charge and discharge diagrams and cyclic performance were conducted using the AUTOLAB-302 machine. In order to produce LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode with coated LiFePO$_4$, first synthesized LiMn$_2$O$_4$ as well as carbon black and PTFE binder were mixed together with ratio of 85:15:5 and were placed in a nickel mesh as current collector. Then, using the dip coating method this mesh was placed in the LiFePO$_4$ sol to contaminate the surface of materials in the mesh. At the end, the mesh was heated at optimum calcination temperature for 1 h in Ar atmosphere. For the negative electrode, the graphite as well as carbon black and PTFE binder were mixed together with ratio of 85:15:5 and were placed in a nickel mesh as current collector. The used electrolyte was a 1M solution of LiClO$_4$ in EC: DMC (1:1 ratio by volume). Charge/discharge and cyclic voltammetry experiments were carried out in a two-electrode glass cell that was built for this purpose. It must be noted that the battery assemble as well as all electrochemical experiments were performed within the glove-box at the presence of Ar atmosphere.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Fig. 1 presents DTA and TG diagrams produced for LiFePO$_4$ gel from sole-gel process. The figure exhibits three distinct weight loss zones. At the first step, a weight drop of 6.4 % was observed which is due to evaporation of the physically absorbed water and degradation of organic components with the sole. The second loss in within the temperature range of 200 to 500 °C, where weight drop is about 33.4 % which can be due to release of water during the crystallization as well as pyrolysis of citrate and other organic components. The last weight loss of the given gel will gradually initiate from the temperature of 522°C and continue up to 800 °C. The weight drop in this zone is 11 % which is due to pyrolysis of remained organic components. All events during the heating of LiFePO$_4$ gel in zones 1 and 2 took place at the temperatures of 132 and 372 °C, respectively. These reactions are endothermic while events occurred in zone 3 are at the temperature of 653 °C and are exothermic. So we selected the temperature 670 °C as calcination temperature.

Fig. 2 show the progression of the reaction between MnO$_2$ and LiOH mixed in a 7:3 ratio from 200 °C to 900 °C.
As can be seen, the peaks of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ without any impurities have been in 800 °C. Also, MnO$_2$ and Mn$_2$O$_3$ impurities can be exist at 200 °C and 500 °C, respectively. The parameters like insufficient of temperature and time, decomposition of unreacted MnO$_2$, can be reasons such impurities, respectively. On the other hand, the XRD patterns at 800 °C shows clearly the characteristic peaks of the spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ structure, e.g., the (111), (311), (222), (400), (331) and (511) peaks. When the heating temperature exceeds 800 °C, some characteristic peaks of Mn$_3$O$_4$ appear due to excess manganese oxide present in original sample. Given these observations, it be deduced that with increasing heating temperature, the crystallites of the spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ grow and become ordered and at 800 °C a well-ordered spinel structure LiMn$_2$O$_4$ is produced.

Fig. 3 displays XRD pattern for uncoated LiMn$_2$O$_4$, phosphate components of LiFePO$_4$ and LiMn$_2$O$_4$ coated by phosphate components of LiFePO$_4$. The lattice parameters of bare LiMn$_2$O$_4$ and modified LiMn$_2$O$_4$ were calculated from the XRD spectrum. They are 0.823 and 0.823 nm, respectively. The modified samples have a larger lattice constant than bare spinel, which indicated that LiFePO$_4$ may form not only a thin layer on the surface of spinel but a solid solution by interacting with spinel. Fig. 4 shows the surface and cross-section SEM images of LiFePO$_4$ thin film prepared by dip coating sol gel deposited on LiMn$_2$O$_4$ substrate at 670 °C under argon atmosphere with the thickness of about 300 nm. As shown in Fig. 4, average grain size of about 100 nm. Fig. 4 shows the cross-section SEM image of LiFePO$_4$ film with the thickness of about 300 nm, and it is a dense LiFePO$_4$ film. Given this observation, we can conclude that LiFePO$_4$ nanostructure film could form on LiMn$_2$O$_4$ substrate.

Fig. 5 shows EDX analysis of the LiFePO$_4$ nanostructure film. Each peak on the spectrum represents a transition with a characteristic energy. Every element has its own “fingerprint” of peaks so we can deduce which a represent. The P element can be clearly observed on the surface of modified materials.

The main difference of this study is applying nanostructured LiFePO$_4$ coatings directly on cathode surface instead of surface of cathode particles. Fig. 6 shows the initial discharge profile with c-rate performances of the bare LiMn$_2$O$_4$ and modified by LiFePO$_4$ nanostructure.
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in the voltage range of 3.4 – 4.3 V. Two plateau feature of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ can be seen in all curves which is the special characterization of Lithium manganese dioxide. Table 2 shows electrochemical characteristics of initial and coated LiMn$_2$O$_4$ cathode samples. Maximum capacity drop is about 4.42% which occurred between 20th-40th cycles for the cathode materials coated by phosphate layers of LiFePO$_4$. These results would be ascribed to the increase of interfacial resistance due to coating layer on the surface of bare LiMn$_2$O$_4$ material. Fig. 7 shows performance of the battery at higher speeds of discharge (higher powers). By increasing discharge speed, the drop of battery performance considerably decreases. Indeed, the amount of remained capacity to the initial capacity of the battery (120 mAh/g) with the rates of C/10, C/5, C/3, and C is 95%, 87.33%, 76%, and 71 %, respectively. It can be accepted that presence of LiFePO$_4$ phosphate layer leads to a better electrical conductivity of the LiMn$_2$O$_4$ particles as well as lowering or hindering the additional reactions of cathode materials with the electrolyte. All in all, this not only improves the cyclic capacity of the battery at the higher rates, but also results in higher discharge rates of cathode materials of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ coated with phosphate layer of LiFePO$_4$.

CONCLUSIONS

Effects on surface modification of the spinel LiMn$_2$O$_4$ by a LiFePO$_4$ nanostructure as a cathode for Li-ion batteries have been studied as an approach to investigate the
Table 2: Electrochemical capacity and percent capacity retention bare LiMn$_2$O$_4$ and LiMn$_2$O$_4$ coated by LiFePO$_4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrod composition</th>
<th>Initial discharge capacity (mAh/G)</th>
<th>40th discharge capacity (mAh/G)</th>
<th>Capacity retention (%) after 40th</th>
<th>Capacity loss between 20th-40th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiMn$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiMn$_2$O$_4$ Coated By LiFePO$_4$</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

electrochemical performance. The results of electrochemical tests showed better capacity retention due to coating layer on the surface of bare LiMn$_2$O$_4$ material.
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