Synthesis, Characterization and in Vitro Antimicrobial Screening of the Xanthate Derivatives and their Iron(II) Complexes
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ABSTRACT: Seven reported xanthate ligands and their new Fe(II) complexes of formula Na[Fe(R-OCSS)₃], where R is ethyl-, propyl-, butyl-, pentyl-, Hexyl-, heptyl- and octyl-xanthate have been synthesized. They have been characterized using elemental analysis, molar conductance, FT-IR, UV-Vis and ¹H NMR spectroscopic techniques and melting-, decomposition-points for ligands and complexes respectively. All the ligands and their Fe(II) complexes have been evaluated for their antimicrobial activity against four gram-positive bacteria, four gram-negative bacteria and three fungi by agar disc diffusion technique. The MIC values of the compounds exhibited significant antifungal activity but showed lower antibacterial activity. The iron(II) complexes are found to possess higher antimicrobial activity than their counterpart ligands thus improving its antimicrobial efficacy. Hydrocarbon chain length of the ligands coordinated to Fe(II) centers seemed to be important for their antifungal as well as antibacterial activities.

KEYWORDS: Xanthate; Fe(II) complex; Antifungal activity; Antibacterial activity.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.
+ E-mail: hmtorshizi@hamoon.usb.ac.ir
1021-9986/2017/5/43-8 8/$/5.80
INTRODUCTION

The incidence of drug resistance of infectious disease is the main reason for developing safe and efficient antimicrobial compounds. Moreover, fungal and bacterial infections continue to increase rapidly because of the increased number of immunocompromised patients (AIDS, cancer, and transplants) as well as patients undergoing more invasive medical procedures. For these reasons, there is most need to develop new chemical products with antimicrobial activity to the treatment of infectious diseases [1-4].

The role of transition metal complexes in biological and medical sciences has been well established during the past few decades [5]. Synthesis and study of metal complexes with dithio ligands such as dithiocarbamates and xanthates have found application as inhibitors of metal-dependent and sulfhydryl enzymes and have a serious consequence on sulfhydryl enzymes [6-8]. They possess a variety of applications in agriculture such as fungicides, bactericides as well as in the rubber industry like vulcanization accelerators and anti-oxidants [9-13]. In this way, these organosulfur compounds are the main group of fungicides and bactericides used to control approximately 400 pathogens of more than seventy crops registered in many countries. The metal complexes derived from organosulfur derivatives like their ligands have practical application in agriculture, industry, and medicine and have concentrated much attention as an approach to new antimicrobial agent development [14-16].

Keeping in view the potential antimicrobial activity of iron complexes having donor atoms such as nitrogen, oxygen, and sulfur [17-19], in the recent works, we report here the synthesis and study of a series of three n- alkyl xanthate ligands and seven iron complexes as fungicides and bactericides. The goal of this study is to prepare new compounds with better or different antifungal and antibacterial activities than their free ligands, to assess the effect of alkyl chain lengths for ligands and their complexes which are a reflection of their structural activity relationship and the effect of these same compounds on different species of fungal and bacterial strains.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and methods

All the chemicals (ethanol, 1- propanol, 1- butanol, 1- pentanol, 1- hexanol, 1- heptanol, 1- octanol, iron(II) sulfate, carbon disulfide and sodium hydroxide) and solvents were purchased from Merck Chemical Co. and used as received. The microorganisms were provided by the microbiology laboratory culture collection, department of microbiology, Tehran University, Iran. Chloramphenicol, Ampicillin, Clotrimazole, and Ketoconazole were obtained from Daroogar Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, Tehran, Iran. Melting point (for ligands) and decomposition point (for complexes) were carried out using a Unimelt capillary melting point apparatus and were uncorrected.

The conductivity measurements of the complexes were carried out on a Systronics conductivity bridge 305. The CHN analysis was performed on Herause CHNO-RAPID elemental analyzer. 1H NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker DRX-500 Avance spectrometer at 500 MHz in DMSO-d6 using tetramethylsilane as an internal reference. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Jasco-460 plus FT-IR spectrometer in the range of 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ using KBr pellets. UV-Vis spectra were measured on a Jasco UV-Vis-7850 recording spectrophotometer.

Synthesis of ligands

**General procedure for R-OCSSNa, R= Et, Pro, But, Pen, Hex, Hep or Oct**

The ligands were prepared based on our published methods [6, 8]. Typically, NaOH (4 g, 100 mmol) and corresponding alcohol were mixed to get a homogenous curdy solution. It was then kept in an ice bath and 20 ml (200 mmol) of CS₂ was added drop wise over a period of 30 min with constant stirring. Stirring continued for 1 h in an ice bath and 2 h at room temperature. The crude product was dried at 35 °C and stirred with 30 ml acetone and filtered. To the filtrate, 40 ml diethyl ether was added and kept in the refrigerator overnight. Afterwards, the cream-colored microcrystals were filtered and washed with diethyl ether and dried for 48 h at 35-40 °C. The synthetic route of xanthate ligands is shown in Scheme 1.

Ethyl xanthate sodium salt (Et-xan-Na), propyl xanthate sodium salt (Pro-xan-Na), butyl xanthate sodium salt (But-xan-Na) and the hexyl xanthate sodium salt (Hex-xan-Na) were prepared and characterized using a similar procedure to that we published previously [8]. However, characterization data for pentyl- heptyl- and octyl-xanthate- sodium salts, abbreviated as (Pen-OCSSNa), (Hep-OCSSNa) and (Oct-OCSSNa) respectively are given in the following pages.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials and methods**

All the chemicals (ethanol, 1- propanol, 1- butanol, 1- pentanol, 1- hexanol, 1- heptanol, 1- octanol, iron(II) sulfate, carbon disulfide and sodium hydroxide) and solvents were purchased from Merck Chemical Co. and used as received. The microorganisms were provided by the microbiology laboratory culture collection, department of microbiology, Tehran University, Iran. Chloramphenicol, Ampicillin, Clotrimazole, and Ketoconazole were obtained from Daroogar Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, Tehran, Iran. Melting point (for ligands) and decomposition point (for complexes) were carried out using a Unimelt capillary melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. The conductivity measurements of the complexes were carried out on a Systronics conductivity bridge 305. The CHN analysis was performed on Herause CHNO-RAPID elemental analyzer. 1H NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker DRX-500 Avance spectrometer at 500 MHz in DMSO-d6 using tetramethylsilane as an internal reference. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Jasco-460 plus FT-IR spectrometer in the range of 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ using KBr pellets. UV-Vis spectra were measured on a Jasco UV-Vis-7850 recording spectrophotometer.
Pen-OCSS-Na: Yield: 0.996 g, (54.3%), m.p.: 79-81°C. Anal. Calc. for C_{12}H_{10}O_{3}Na (MW=186): C, 38.71; H, 5.91; S, 34.41. Found: C, 38.71; H, 5.96; S, 34.51. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 1136 (C=O stretching) and 1076 (C-S stretching) [20]. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 25 °C, S= singlet, d= doublet, t= triplet, sb= singlet broad and m=multiplet) δ (ppm): 0.9 to 0.95 (t, 3H, CH₃-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O), 1.31 to 1.39 (m, 4H, CH₂-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O), 1.55 to 1.60 (m, 2H, CH₂-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O) and 4.21 to 4.27 (t, 2H, CH₂-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O) (Fig.1(F)).

Hep-OCSS-Na: Yield: 0.086 g, (40%), m.p.: 120-124°C. Anal. Calc. for C_{12}H_{10}O_{3}Na (MW=214): C, 44.86; H, 7.01; S, 29.91. Found: C, 44.87; H, 7.02; S, 29.82. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 1157 (C-O stretching) and 1058 (C-S stretching) [20]. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 25°C, δ (ppm): 0.84 to 0.87 (t, 3H, CH₂-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O), 1.27 (s possibly m, 10H, CH₂-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O), 1.56 to 1.61 (m, 2H, CH₂-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O) and 4.17 to 4.22 (t, 2H, CH₂-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O) (Fig.1(F)).

Oct-OCSS-Na: Yield: 0.185 g, (81%), m.p.: 146-149°C. Anal. Calc. for C_{12}H_{10}O_{3}Na (MW=228): C, 47.37; H, 7.46; S, 28.07. Found: C, 47.38; H, 7.45; S, 28.00. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 1140 (C-O stretching) and 1050 (C-S stretching) [20]. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 25°C, δ (ppm): 0.84 to 0.87 (t, 3H, CH₂-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O), 1.27 (s possibly m, 10H, CH₂-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O), 1.55 to 1.60 (m, 2H, CH₂-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O) and 4.16 to 4.21 (t, 2H, CH₂-(CH₂)₂-CH₂-CH₂-O) (Fig.1(G)).

**Synthesis of complexes**

**General procedure for Na[Fe(R-OCSS)₂]**

An aqueous solution of the corresponding n-alkyl xanthate sodium salt (6 mmol) with constant stirring was added dropwise to an aqueous solution of FeSO₄·7H₂O (0.56 g, 2 mmol). In this volume of water (total 5mL) there was the immediate formation of a dark brown colored precipitate of Na[Fe(R-OCSS)₂]. The mixture was stirred for 2-3 h, the solid product filtered, washed with chilled water, acetone and air dried.

In the case of But, Pen, Hex, Hep and Oct complexes, the product was separated as a dark brown oily layer at the bottom of the beaker which was separated by decanting the water layer and washed with water. The synthetic route of Fe(II) complexes was shown in scheme 1.

**Na[Fe(Et-OCSS)₂]** Yield: 0.335 g, (76%). It decomposes at 242-246°C. Anal. Calc. for C_{15}H_{12}O₄S₅FeNa...
Staphylococcus aureus

It decomposes at 251-254 °C. Anal. Calc. for C_{12}H_{22}O_{5}S_{5}FeNa (M.W= 484): C, 29.75; H, 4.34; S, 13.22. Found: C, 29.10; H, 4.30; S, 13.19. FT-IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): 1263 (O=C stretching) and 1021 (C-S stretching) [20].

1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_{3}, 25 °C) δ (ppm): 0.97 to 1.10 (m, 3H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O), 1.72 to 1.94 (m, 2H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) and 4.54 to 4.63 (m, 2H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) (Fig. 1 (B)). UV-Vis (λ_{max}, nm) (log ε, L/mol cm): 283 (4.54) and 250 (4.70). Molar conductivity Λ_{m}(1×10^{4} M, H_{2}O) of the complex is 119 m^{2}mol^{-1}.

Na(Fe-Pro-OCCS)↓\(_{3}\)↓Yield: 0.299 g, (62%). It decomposes at 251-254 °C. Anal. Calc. for C_{12}H_{22}O_{5}S_{5}FeNa (M.W= 484): C, 29.75; H, 4.34; S, 13.22. Found: C, 29.10; H, 4.30; S, 13.19. FT-IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): 1263 (O=C stretching) and 1021 (C-S stretching) [20].

1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_{3}, 25 °C) δ (ppm): 0.92 to 0.98 (t, 3H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O), 1.42 to 1.56 (m, 2H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O), 1.79 to 1.81 (d possibly m, 2H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) and 4.59 to 4.63 (t, 2H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) (Fig. 1 (C)). UV-Vis (λ_{max}, nm) (log ε, L/mol cm): 300 (4.68) and 258 (4.77). Molar conductivity Λ_{m}(1×10^{4} M, H_{2}O) of the complex is 121 m^{2}mol^{-1}.

Na(Fe-But-OCCS)↓↓Yield: 0.362 g, (69%). It decomposes at: 257-259 °C. Anal. Calc. for C_{12}H_{22}O_{5}S_{5}FeNa (M.W= 526): C, 34.22; H, 5.13; S, 6.08. Found: C, 34.19; H, 5.15; S, 6.10. FT-IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): 1264 (O=C stretching) and 1024 (C-S stretching) [20].

1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_{3}, 25 °C) δ (ppm): 0.92 to 0.98 (t, 3H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O), 1.42 to 1.56 (m, 2H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O), 1.79 to 1.81 (d possibly m, 2H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) and 4.59 to 4.63 (t, 2H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) (Fig. 1 (C)). UV-Vis (λ_{max}, nm) (log ε, L/mol cm): 300 (4.68) and 258 (4.77). Molar conductivity Λ_{m}(1×10^{4} M, H_{2}O) of the complex is 117 m^{2}mol^{-1}.

Na(Fe(Pen-OCCS))↓↓Yield: 0.370 g, (65%). It decomposes at: 263-267 °C. Anal. Calc. for C_{15}H_{12}O_{5}S_{5}FeNa (M.W= 568): C, 38.03; H, 5.81; S, 11.27. Found: C, 38.00; H, 5.85; S, 11.20. FT-IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): 1262 (O=C stretching) and 1024 (C-S stretching) [20].

1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_{3}, 25 °C) δ (ppm): 0.92 to 0.94 (d possibly m, 3H, CH_{2}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) and 1.37 to 1.38 (d possibly m, 4H, CH_{2}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) and 1.78 to 1.83 (t, 2H, CH_{3}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) and 4.57 to 4.70 (m, 2H, CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) and 5.16 to 5.18 (m, 2H, CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) (Fig. 1 (D)). UV-Vis (λ_{max}, nm) (log ε, L/mol cm): 283 (4.43) and 242 (4.70). Molar conductivity Λ_{m}(1×10^{4} M, H_{2}O) of the complex is 122 m^{2}mol^{-1}.

Na(Fe(Oct-OCCS))↓↓Yield: 0.398 g, (82%). It decomposes at: 266-269 °C. Anal. Calc. for C_{20}H_{22}O_{5}S_{5}FeNa (M.W= 610): C, 41.31; H, 6.39; S, 10.49. Found: C, 41.35; H, 6.38; S, 10.32. FT-IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): 1257 (O=C stretching) and 1024 (C-S stretching) [20].

1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_{3}, 25 °C) δ (ppm): 0.90 (s possibly m, 3H, CH_{2}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O), 1.38 (s possibly m, 6H, CH_{2}-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O), 1.79 (s possibly m, 2H, CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) and 4.21 to 4.60 (d possibly m, 2H, CH_{2}-CH_{2}-O) (Fig. 1 (E)). UV-Vis (λ_{max}, nm) (log ε, L/mol cm): 283 (4.20) and 250 (4.54). Molar conductivity Λ_{m}(1×10^{4} M, H_{2}O) of the complex is 118 m^{2}mol^{-1}.

Antimicrobial activity

The bioactivity of all these ligands and their iron(II) complexes were screened for their ability to inhibit the growth of the gram-negative bacteria (Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Salmonella typhimurium, Yersinia enterocolitica, proteus mirabilis), gram-positive bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis, Bacillus cereus) and two fungal strains.
Sodium alkyl xanthates are prepared by saturating the corresponding alcohol with NaOH, cooling and then carbon disulfide was dropped dropwise. The light yellow fine crystalline products are stable at room temperature and they are soluble in water. FeSO₄·7H₂O and corresponding sodium n-alkyl xanthate reacted under mild conditions in water to give their xanthate complexes (scheme 1). The obtained results showed the formula for Fe(II) complexes as Na[Fe (R-OSS)₅]
where R= ethyl, propyl, butyl, pentyl, hexyl, heptyl, and octyl. The complexes were soluble in 1:1 H2O-DMF mixture, DMF, and DMSO. They are thermally stable at room temperature and decomposed without melting. Measurements of their molar conductivity in H2O-DMF (1:1 ratio v/v) indicated Fe(II) complexes to be 1:1 electrolyte [21,22]. Attempts at crystallization of the iron complexes were unsuccessful. However, the elemental analysis and spectral studies enable us to predict the structures of the ligands and their complexes.

**Elemental analysis and molar conductivity measurements**

The satisfactory results between experimental and calculated values for elemental analysis revealed the successful synthesis and purity of synthesized compounds. It also confirmed coordination of the 1:3 metal-to-ligand xanthate derivatives. The molar conductivities of 1×104 M metal complexes at room temperature were measured in 1:1 ratio of H2O-DMF solution. These values are in the range of 117-122 cm2/mol Ω indicating that they are 1:1 electrolyte [22]. It suggests that the Fe ions in the structure of the complexes should in +2 oxidation state and the three thiol ligands bear -1 each. Thus each complex ion has a total of -1 charge being balanced with Na+ cation (Scheme 1).

**IR spectroscopy**

The IR spectra of these xanthate complexes showed the characteristic absorptions of R-OCSS group in the region ~1142 and ~1060 cm⁻¹ for ligands and ~1262 and ~1024 cm⁻¹ for metal complexes [23, 24]. Those at approximately 1142-1262 cm⁻¹ are attributable to the stretching vibrations of ν(COC)asymm group, while the band around 1024-1060 cm⁻¹ belong to the νC=S vibration [25,26].

The bands due to ν(COC)asymm group for the free ligands are shifted towards higher frequencies, while the νC=S undergoes shift towards lower frequency in the complexes indicating involvement of sulfur atoms of R-OCSS group in coordination with iron(II) ion [20, 27]. These results explain the shortening of C-O-C bonds and lengthening of C-S bonds which cause the increase and decrease of the frequencies, respectively. Moreover, as reported by Bonati and Ugo for analogous dithiocarbamate complexes, the νC=S stretching frequencies may be used to distinguish between the monodentate and bidentate behavior of dithiol ligands. In case of monodentatedithio ligands, a doublet peak appears around 1000 cm⁻¹ separated by ≥ 20 cm⁻¹ which are due to non-equivalence of two C-S stretching vibrations [28]. On the other hand, in case of bidentate dithio ligands, a strong singlet is observed in ~1000 cm⁻¹ region, which is indicative of symmetrically bound dithio moiety. In present series of dithio iron complexes, we observed only one strong band at 1024 cm⁻¹, which indicates that all the xanthate ligands are bidentate and symmetrically bonded.

**1H NMR spectroscopy**

The 1H NMR spectra of the compounds show the characteristic resonances of the R (Et to Oct) groups in the expected aliphatic region which confirm the proposed formulae of the xanthate ligands and complexes. In both ligands and complexes, Et shows two sets, Pro three sets and Bu, Pen, Hex, Hep, and Oct four sets of signals at room temperature (Fig. 2 and spectra in supplementary section). This indicates that the three R groups present in the structure of each of the complexes are equivalent. The coordination of the xanthate ligands with iron (II) invariably produces a downfield shift in the position of their proton signals relative to those of the free xanthate ligands. The extent of this downfield shift decreased regularly with distance from the coordination site. This is due to the electron donation by CSS group to iron center. Thus, based on the spectroscopic data, the structures as shown in Fig. 1, were assigned to these ligands and complexes. Further support for the proposed structures come from the ratio of the integrated areas under the peaks of R groups of xanthate protons being 3:2 for Et, 3:2:2 for Pro, 3:2:2:2 for Bu, 3:4:2:2 for Pen, 3:6:2:2 for Hex, 3:8:2:2 for Hep and 3:10:2:2 for Oct. R groups in free ligands as well as complexes. No changes were observed in the 1H NMR spectra of the above complexes dissolved in CDCl₃ and recorded after 24 h suggesting no dissociation of xanthate anions. Moreover, as reported by Tsipis and Manoussakis, in case of metal dithiocarbamate complexes, sharp 1H NMR spectra without being split indicate the non-coexistence of mono and bidentate dithiocarbamate ligands [29]. A similar observation was seen for all of our xanthate complexes. Finally, as it can be seen from these spectra, there is no any sign of paramagnetism (broadening of signals) and almost all signals are sharp enough to indicate non-paramagnetic nature of complexes.
Fig. 2: $^1$H NMR spectrum of Hep-Xa-Na ligand and its corresponding $^1$H NMR spectrum of Na[Fe(Hep-Xa)$_3$] complex.

UV-Vis spectroscopy

The ligands and their iron complexes show characteristic UV-Vis spectra for the $\text{-OCSS}^-$ group. The electronic spectra of ligands exhibit two intense bands appeared sharply at 300 nm and 225 nm which may be assigned to $n\rightarrow\pi^*$ and $\pi\rightarrow\pi^*$ transition of $\text{CS}_2$ groups. Same observations have been made by N. Manav and coworkers for $\text{CS}_2$ groups of dithio ligands[29, 30]. On complexation, these two bands overlapped and shifted to $\sim 280$ nm (broad) and $\sim 250$ nm (shoulder), revealing the involvement of $\text{CSS}^-$ group in chelate formation. These peaks positions and overlapping are in substantial agreement with those previously recorded by Jorgensen [31, 32].

Antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial activity was assayed using paper disc diffusion method by measuring the zones of inhibition in millimeter and Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) by agar dilution method. All the compounds were screened in vitro for their antibacterial and antifungal activities against a variety of gram-negative and gram-positive bacterial and fungal strains and the results are given in Figs. 4 and 5. Na[Fe(Oct-OCSS)$_3$] complex showed a remarkable activity against E.coli (Fig. 3). The inhibition diameter is 17 mm and with a MIC value of 3.0 $\mu$g/mL. the inhibition diameter obtained by Na[Fe(Hep-OCSS)$_3$] complex against B.cereus is 17 mm and its MIC value is 1 $\mu$g/mL (Fig. 4).
### Table 1: Minimum inhibitory concentration of ligands (µg/ml) against bacterial and fungal pathogens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Name of bacterial pathogens</th>
<th>Name of fungal pathogens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.aureus</td>
<td>E.fecalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et-OCSS-Na</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro-OCSS-Na</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu-OCSS-Na</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pen-OCSS-Na</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hex-OCSS-Na</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hep-OCSS-Na</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-OCSS-Na</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol (100 µg/ disc)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin (100 µg/ disc)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketaconazole (100 µg/ disc)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clotrimazole (100 µg/ disc)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 5: Comparison of antimicrobial activities of seven Fe(II) complexes at various concentrations (5, 15, 25 and 50 ppm). Fungi and Bacteria indicate average fungi and bacterial activities of seven complexes respectively.**

These results show a marked activity against bacterial strains tested. In these studies, four different concentrations of each ligand and iron complexes were selected (5, 15, 25 and 50 ppm). We observed the maximum inhibitory zones at 50 ppm (Fig. 5). The results of inhibition zones of microbial growth produced by different compound and MIC of the compounds against eight bacteria and two fungi are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

The activity of reference compounds Chloramphenicol, Ampicillin, Ketaconazole, and Clotrimazole for comparison purposes was included. The obtained results show that all synthesized ligands and iron complexes were active against all tested microorganisms with a range of inhibition zones and MIC values, *E. coli* (11–17 mm and 3–28 µg/mL), *P. aeruginosa* (8-15 mm and 4–45 µg/mL), *S. typhi* (10–16 mm and 4–30 µg/mL), *Y. enterocolitica* (10-16 mm and 6–40 µg/mL), *P. mirabilis* (11-15 mm and 1-20 µg/mL), *S. aureus* (7-15 mm and 5-30 µg/mL), *E. faecalis* (8-13 mm and 9-42 µg/mL), *B. cereus* (10-17 mm and 1-30 µg/mL), *A. niger* (11-18 mm and 1-25 µg/mL) and *C. albicans* (13-18 mm and 1-12 µg/mL). These experimental results indicate that the iron (II) complexes are more active than their counterpart ligands which may be due to bidentate coordination (chelation) of xanthate ligands with Fe(II) center via two sulfur atoms. Such an increased activity for the metal chelates as compared to the free ligand can be explained on the basis of chelation theory. Chelation reduces the polarity of the metal ion which is due to neutralization of positive charges on Fe(II) ion with negative charges on sulfur atoms of threethiol ligands as well as partial sharing of p-electron of donor groups over the chelate ring. Such chelation could increase the liposolubility of the iron complexes, which subsequently...

However, it is interesting that the biological activity of the synthesized compounds is enhanced upon increasing the hydrocarbon chain length presented in the structure of the ligands and their Fe(II) complexes, suggesting that nature of the alkyl group attached to the xanthate moiety plays an important role on the potential activities of these products. Moreover, the Na[Fe(Hep- OSS)]3 and Na[Fe(Oct-OSS)]3 presented the best antimicrobial activities on all the tested strains (Fig. 6). In comparison, two series of compounds showed better antifungal activity than antibacterial. In addition, the antifungal activities of iron complexes are better than xanthate sodium salts. However, all compounds had low antimicrobial activity against the microorganisms cultures used in this study as compared to the standard antifungal and antibacterial antibiotics.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A series of seven n-alkyl xanthate sodium salts and their Fe(II) complexes were prepared. Their structures were established by analytical, spectroscopic and non-spectroscopic techniques. All ligands and their iron(II) complexes were screened for their antimicrobial activity against several bacteria and pathogenic fungi. The results
indicated that almost all of the compounds exhibited significant antifungal activity but showed lower antibacterial activity. The iron(II) complexes are more active than their counterpart ligands. The study on structural-activity relationships of these xanthate ligands and corresponding complexes indicated that the hydrocarbon chain length seemed to be important for antimicrobial activities. These activities improved by the increase in the chain length of hydrocarbon moieties.
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