Transport Properties of Refrigerant Mixtures: Thermal Conductivity

Khordad, Reza*+; Mirhosseini, Bahare

Department of Physics, College of Sciences, Yasouj University, P.O. Box 75914-353 Yasouj, I.R. IRAN

ABSTRACT: In the present work, the integral equations method is used to calculate transport properties of polar fluids. For this goal, we use the Stockmayer potential and examine theoretically the thermal conductivity of several refrigerant mixtures such as R125+R134a, R125+R32, R125+R152a, R134a+R32, R152a+R32, R134a+R143a, and R125+R143a. We solve numerically the Ornstein-Zernike (OZ) equation using the Hypernetted Chain (HNC) approximation for binary fluid mixtures and obtain the pair correlation functions. Finally, the temperature dependence of thermal conductivity is studied using Vesovic-Wakeham method and compared with available results.

KEY WORDS: Transport property, Thermal conductivity, Refrigerant mixtures, Binary mixtures.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding of structure and transport properties of molecular fluids, like viscosity and thermal conductivity, is one of main challenges in the condensed matter physics. Thermophysical properties of polar fluids have received much attention in past few years. Hitherto, the considerable progresses have been made in the understanding of the behavior of polar fluids by considering different models (*Hansen et al.* [1]; *Gray et al.* [2]). These models can be classified according to the type of interaction between the molecules (*Prera et al.* [3]; *Dijkstra et al.* [4]; *Moradi et al.* [5]; *Zhou et al.* [6]; *Gay et al.* [7]; *Khordad* [8]; *Papari et al.* [9]; *Khordad et al.* [10]).

There are a number of theoretical studies on the structure and the thermodynamic properties of polar fluids, and the existing theories can be classified into two different categories. The first one is based on Density Functional Theory (DFT), which has been applied quite successfully to the simple and multi-component molecular fluids. The second one belongs to the methods based on the integral equation theory, which has been found to be quite successful in describing polar fluids. Integral equation theory for the structural and thermodynamic properties of molecular fluids with orientational degrees of freedom is now reasonably well developed (*Hansen et al.* [1]; *Gray et al.* [2]; *Blum* [11]; *Klapp et al.* [12]; *Wei et al.* [13]).

Wertheim's analytic solution (*Wertheim* [14]) of the mean spherical approximation integral equation for the dipolar hard sphere fluids was the first advance in our understanding of polar fluids since the work of *Onsager* [15]. Over the last two decades, integral equation method of classical equilibrium statistical mechanics have been used successfully to describe the thermodynamical properties and structure of simple and multi-component polar fluids such as dipolar hard spheres

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

⁺*E*-mail: rezakh2025@yahoo.com

^{1021-9986/15/1/75 7/\$/2.70}

(*Onsager* [15]), the dipolar Yukawa (*Henderson et al.* [16]), dipolar hard ellipsoids (*Perera et al.* [17]), and the Stockmayer fluid (*Rowlinson et al.* [18]). It is worth mentioning that there is also another computational method to study polar fluids like the computer simulation.

As we know, the macroscopic properties of polar matter (in addition to dipole-dipole interaction) come from the balance between the short ranged atomic repulsions, which avoids the collapse, and the longer ranged attractions which keep the matter condensed. The theoretical models developed to study the properties of real systems have often included these two effects as separated pieces. One of the famous models is the Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential (*Hansen et al.* [1]; *Khordad* [8]; *Papari et al.* [9]).

Transport properties of polar fluids such as thermal conductivity and viscosity have been investigated using different theoretical (*Hansen et al.*, [1]; *Gray et al.* [2]) and computing simulation techniques (*Allen et al.* [19]; *Frenkel et al.* [20]; *Sadus* [21]; *Alavi Fazel et al.* [22]; *Khorsand Movagar et al.* [23]; *Ziabasharhagh et al.* [24]; *Ghazanfari et al.* [25]). A great deal of information for polar fluids has been obtained using the Stockmayer molecular model. Although that model is a great idealization of real polar molecules interactions, it has been used successfully for describing the properties of polar pure fluids (*Hansen et al.* [1]; *Gray et al.* [2]) and their mixtures (*Rowlinson et al.* [18]).

In the present work we apply an integral equation approach for obtaining transport properties of refrigerant mixtures using the Stockmayer model. For this purpose, we employ the Ornstein-Zernike (OZ) integral equation and HyperNetted Chain (HNC) closure relation for binary fluid mixtures. From a technical perspective, our approach essentially follows the methods developed for isotropic (Fries et al. [26]) and perfectly ordered systems in two and three dimensions (Caillol et al. [27]; Moradi et al. [28]). In order to solve the two-particle integral equations, all correlation functions are expanded in an appropriate angle-dependent basis set consisting of spherical harmonics. In this regard, we try to solve the OZ integral equation for binary fluid mixtures using the HNC approximation to find the correlation functions. Then, we apply these correlation functions to determine the thermal conductivity of several refrigerant mixtures like R125+R134a, R125+R32, R125+R152a, R134a+R32, R152a+R32, R134a+R143a, and R125+R143a.

THEORITICAL SECTION

Stockmayer potential model

As we know, the description of the interaction between two molecules in polar fluids has greater problems than for spherical particles because the pair potential is a function both of the separation of the molecules and of their mutual orientation. Let us consider a system composed of spherical particles with an embedded point dipole at the center. The total pair potential between two molecules saysi and j, separated by a distance

$$\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{r}_{ij}\right) = \mathbf{u}^{LJ}\left(\mathbf{r}_{ij}\right) + \mathbf{u}^{dd}\left(\mathbf{r}_{ij}\right) \tag{1}$$

Eq. (1) is called the Stockmayer potential model and it is the sum of the LJ potential

$$u_{ij}^{dd}\left(\mathbf{r}_{ij}\right) = 4\varepsilon_{ij}\left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\mathbf{r}_{ij}}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\mathbf{r}_{ij}}\right)^{6}\right]$$
(2)

and the potential between two point dipoles, dipoledipole (dd) interaction, $\vec{\mu}_i$ and $\vec{\mu}_j$ of strength μ_i and μ_j located at the centers of molecules i and j.

$$u_{ij}^{dd}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) = \left[\frac{\vec{\mu}_{i}.\vec{\mu}_{j}}{r_{ij}^{3}} - \frac{3(\vec{\mu}_{i}.\mathbf{r}_{ij})(\vec{\mu}_{j}.\mathbf{r}_{ij})}{r_{ij}^{5}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{\mu_{i}.\mu_{j}}{r_{ij}^{3}} \left[\vec{\mu}_{i}.\vec{\mu}_{j} - 3(\hat{\mu}_{i}.\hat{r}_{ij})(\hat{\mu}_{j}.\hat{r}_{ij})\right]$$
(3)

Also, ε_{ij} sets the energy scale (the LJ energy parameter) and σ_{ij} the length scale (the LJ size parameter). To use the Stockmayer potential for polar mixtures, three parameters, σ_{ij} , ε_{ij} and μ_{ij} must be determined. Therefore, the pure substance parameters are used to evaluate these parameters. In this case, we take the parameters, σ , ε and μ from experimental data or simulation results. The dipole moment μ is also taken directly from its experimental value. For the dipole moment parameter, we use effective Stockmayer potential model which has been reported by *Gao et al.* [29].

Integral equation method

Expansion of the correlation functions

The whole structural information about the systems is contained in the total and direct correlation functions h(1.2) and c(1.2). These are calculated by iterative solution of the OZ equation combined with a closure equation relating c(1.2), h(1.2) and the pair potential. The OZ equation for perfectly ordered binary mixtures is given by (*Hansen et al.* [1]).

$$h_{ij}(1,2) = c_{ij}(1,2) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{s=1}^{2} d3h_{is}(1,3)\rho_{s}c_{sj}(3,2)$$
(4)

where ρ_s is the number density of species s, c_{ii} and h_{ii} are the direct and total correlation functions between molecules of species i and j. There are few numerical results for the fluid mixtures with the help of the integral equation (4) combined with an appropriate closure relation (Khordad [8]; Moradi et al. [28]). Here, we give the basis steps to solve the OZ equation for the binary fluid mixtures using the Stockmayer model. Following Edmonds (Edmonds 1960 [30]), we treat the angle dependence of the two-particle correlation functions by expanding in a symmetry adapted basis set. We expand the correlation functions in terms of a complete set of angular functions. As we know, the correlation functions must be independent of our choice of coordinate system and this invariance simplifies the expansion. Introducing the variable $\vec{\mathbf{r}}_{12} = (\omega_{12}, \mathbf{r}_{12}) = \vec{\mathbf{r}}_2 - \vec{\mathbf{r}}_1$ we find (*Edmonds* 1960 [30])

$$f_{ij}(1,2) = \sum_{l_1 l_2 l} f_{ij}(l_1 l_2 l; r_{12}) \Phi^{l_1 l_2 l}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_{12})$$
(5)
with $f_{ij}(1,2) = h_{ij}(1,2)$ or $c_{ij}(1,2)$ and

$$\Phi^{l_1 l_2 l}\left(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_{12}\right) = \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{m_{1}m_{2}m} (-1)^{m_{1}} \begin{pmatrix} l_{1} & l_{2} & 1 \\ -m_{1} & m_{2} & m \end{pmatrix} Y_{l_{1}m_{1}}^{*}(\omega_{1}) Y_{l_{1}m_{1}}(\omega_{1}) Y_{lm}(\omega_{12})$$

Here $\omega_{12} \equiv (\theta_{12}, \phi_{12})$ describes the orientation of r_{12} , $Y_{lm}(\omega)$ is a spherical harmonic, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & l \\ -m_1 & m_2 & m \end{pmatrix}$$
 is the 3j symbol which relates to the

Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (*Gray et al.*, 1984 [2]). Due to the properties of the dipolar potential, we have

$$f_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}l}\left(r\right) = f_{ij}^{l_{2}l_{1}l}\left(r\right)$$

The OZ integral equation

To treat the OZ equation (4) one introduces the Fourier transforms

$$\tilde{f}_{ij}(k, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \, e^{i,k,r} f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) =$$

$$\sum_{l_{1}l_{2}l} \tilde{f}_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}l}(k) \Phi^{l_{1}l_{2}l}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{k})$$
(7)

where ω_k describes the orientation of the wave vector k. The expansion coefficients are Hankel transforms (*Gray et al.* [2]) of the corresponding spatial functions defined by

$$\tilde{f}_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}l}(k) = 4\pi i^{l} \int_{0}^{\infty} dr r^{2} j_{l}(kr) f_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}l}$$
(8)

Here $j_i(kr)$ are spherical Bessel functions and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. In the following we will make use

of an alternative to Eq. (7): choosing the polar axis along ${\bf k}$ one gets

$$\tilde{f}_{ij}\left(k,\Omega_{1},\Omega_{2}\right) = \sum_{l_{1}l_{2}l}\sum_{\lambda=-\min\left(l_{1},l_{2}\right)}^{\lambda=+\min\left(l_{1},l_{2}\right)} \tilde{f}_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}\lambda}\left(k\right) \Psi_{\lambda}^{l_{1}l_{2}}\left(\Omega_{1},\Omega_{2}\right) \quad (9)$$

Where

$$\Psi_{\lambda}^{l_{l_{2}}} = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{(2l_{1}+1) + (2l_{2}+1)}} Y_{l_{1}\lambda}(\Omega_{1}) Y_{l_{2}\lambda}(\Omega_{2})$$
(10)

Now, Ω_1, Ω_2 describe orientations with respect to **k** (**k** frame) and the new coefficients are

linear combinations of those in the past system

$$\tilde{f}_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{l=|l_{1}-l_{2}|}^{l_{1}+l_{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} C(l_{1}l_{2}l:\lambda,-\lambda,0) \tilde{f}_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}l}(\mathbf{k})$$
(11)

where $C(l_1l_2l:\lambda,-\lambda,0)$ is the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. The coefficients have the properties (*Hansen et al.* [1]).

$$\tilde{f}_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}\lambda}\left(k\right) = \tilde{f}_{ij}^{l_{2}l_{1}\lambda}\left(k\right) \quad , \quad \tilde{f}_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}\lambda}\left(k\right) = \tilde{f}_{ij}^{l_{2}l_{1},-\lambda}\left(k\right)$$
(12)

The great advantage of the **k** frame is that the OZ Eq. (4) decouples with respect to the

Index λ :

$$\tilde{h}_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}\lambda}(k) = \tilde{c}_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}\lambda}(k) +$$

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{s=1}^{2} \sum_{l=R} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda}}{2l_{3}+1} \tilde{h}_{is}^{l_{1}l_{2}\lambda}(k) \rho_{s} \tilde{c}_{sj}^{l_{1}l_{2}\lambda}(k)$$
(13)

This equation can be written in matrix form for the values λ and k,

$$\overline{h}_{ij}(\lambda;k) = \overline{c}_{ij}(\lambda;k) + \overline{h}_{is}(\lambda;k) \frac{\rho_s}{4\pi} \overline{c}_{sj} \overline{h}_{ij}(\lambda;k)$$
(14)

In above equation, \overline{c}_{ij} and \overline{h}_{ij} are 2×2 matrices, where each element is a matrix with indices l_1 and l_2 . Also, $\overline{\rho}_s$ is the diagonal matrix with indices ρ_1 and ρ_2 . This is a linear system of equations that determine $\tilde{h}_{ij}^{l_1l_2\lambda}(k)$ if $\tilde{c}_{ij}^{l_1l_2\lambda}(k)$ are known. As we see, this equation has two unknown functions. Therefore, we require an extra equation which relates these functions.

Closure relationships

Closure expressions relate the two-particle correlation functions and the pair potential. In the present paper we consider the HNC approximation for the binary mixtures which can be written as (*Hansen et al.* [1])

$$c_{ij}(1,2) = -\beta u_{ij}(1,2) + h_{ij}(1,2) - \ln g_{ij}(1,2)$$
(15)

where β is the inverse temperature, g_{ij} is the pair distribution function, and u_{ij} is defined

in Eq. (1). A problem arises from the fact, that the logarithm in the closure [Eq. (15)] cannot be expanded in spherical invariants. This problem has been overcome by *Fries & Patey* [26]. By differentiating the closure with respect to one of independent variables one gets linear differential equations between the $f_{ij}^{l_1l_2l}(\mathbf{r})$. Choosing the particle distance r_{12} as this variable yields (*Fries et al.* [26])

$$c_{ij}(1,2) = \int_{r_{12}}^{\infty} dr' h_{ij}(1,2) \frac{\delta W_{ij}(1,2)}{\delta r'} - \beta u_{ij}(1,2)$$
(16)

Where

$$W_{ij}(1,2) = -y_{ij}(1,2) - \beta u_{ij}(1,2)$$
(17)

In above equation, y_{ij} (1, 2) is the auxiliary function between molecules of species i and j.

Employing this form of the closure together with Eq. (5) one obtains

$$\begin{split} c_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}m_{1}m_{2}}(\mathbf{r}) &= (18) \\ \sum_{l'_{1}l'_{2}l'} \sum_{m'_{1}m'_{2}} \sum_{l'_{1}l'_{2}l'} \sum_{m'_{1}m''_{2}} \wp \int_{\mathbf{r}}^{\infty} d\mathbf{r}' h_{ij}^{l'_{1}l'_{2}l'm'_{1}m'_{2}}\left(\mathbf{r}'\right) \frac{\delta W_{ij}^{l'_{1}l'_{2}l'm''_{1}m''_{2}}\left(\mathbf{r}'\right)}{\delta \mathbf{r}'} - \beta u_{ij}^{l_{1}l_{2}m_{1}m_{2}}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \end{split}$$

where \wp depends on all the 15 indices and has the explicit form

$$\wp = \tag{19}$$

$$c(l'_1 l''_1 l_1, 000) c(l'_1 l''_2 l_2, 000) c(l'_1 l''_1, 000) c(l'_1 l''_1 l_1, m'_1 m'_1 m_1) \times$$

$$\begin{split} & c\left(l'_{2}l''_{2}l_{2},m'_{2}m''_{2}m_{2}\right)c\left(l'l''l,m'm''m\right)\sqrt{\frac{\left(2l'+1\right)\left(2l''+1\right)}{4\pi\left(2l+1\right)}}\\ & \sqrt{\frac{\left(2l'_{1}+1\right)\left(2l''_{1}+1\right)}{4\pi\left(2l_{1}+1\right)}}\sqrt{\frac{\left(2l'_{2}+1\right)\left(2l''_{2}+1\right)}{4\pi\left(2l_{2}+1\right)}} \end{split}$$

with m m'₁+m'₂, m" = $m_1" + m_2"$ and m=m₁+m₂. This expansion, however, can only

be carried out numerically in most cases. With the help of this closure relation, we can now solve the OZ equation numerically by iteration (*Khordad* [8]; *Fries et al.* [26]; *Moradi et al.* [28]; *Perera et al.* [31]). In previous work (*Khordad et al.* [10]), we showed that at lower cutoff $l_{max} = 2$ the HNC closure gives different results for correlation functions which is not in agreement with computer simulations. But, at higher cutoff $l_{max} = 6$ the obtained results are in agreement with computer simulations. In the present work, we performed the calculations at lower cutoff, i.e., we truncated the series at $l_{max} = 2$.

Thermal conductivity

In order to put the subsequent discussion of the results in a proper context, it is useful to summarize the Vesovic-Wakeham (VW) prediction method. For brevity, only the essential elements of the methodology are presented here and the reader is referred to the original publication for more details (*Dipippo et al.* [32]; *Sandler et al.* [33]; *Vesovic et al.* [34]).

The most advanced theoretical results for the background thermal conductivity of dense fluid mixtures are those proposed by *Mason et al.* which are based upon the Thorne-Enskog equations for the transport properties of a fluid mixture or a gas mixture composed of N species of rigid spherical molecules. The results of *Mason et al.* can be written in the form [35]:

$$\lambda_{\rm mix} = \lambda_{\rm mix} \, ({\rm mon}) + \lambda_{\rm mix} \tag{20}$$

in which λ_{mix} (mon) denotes the contribution of translational energy transport to the thermal conductivity and λ_{mix} (mon) denotes the contribution of internal energy transport. Explicitly,

$$\lambda_{\min} (\min) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} L_{11} & \cdots & L_{1N} & Y_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ L_{N1} & \cdots & L_{NN} & Y_N \\ Y_1 & \cdots & Y_N & 0 \\ \hline \begin{vmatrix} L_{11} & \cdots & L_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ L_{N1} & & L_{NN} \end{vmatrix}} + K_{\min'}$$
(21)

where

$$Y_{i} = x_{i} \left[1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{2m_{i}m_{j}}{\left(m_{i} + m_{j}\right)^{2}} x_{j} \gamma_{ij} \overline{\chi}_{ij} \rho \right]$$
(22)

and

$$L_{ii} = \frac{x_i^2 \overline{\chi}_{ii}}{\lambda_{ij}^0} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{x_i x_j \overline{x}_{ij}}{2A_{ij}^* \lambda_{ij}^0 (m_i + m_j)^2} \times$$

$$\left[\frac{15}{2} m_i^2 + \frac{25}{4} m_j^2 - 3m_j^2 B_{ij}^* + 4m_i m_j A_{ij}^* \right]$$
(23)

$$L_{ii} = \frac{x_i x_j m_i m_j}{2A_{ij}^* \lambda_{ij}^0 (m_i + m_j)^2} \left[\frac{55}{4} - 3B_{ij}^* + 4A_{ij}^* \right]$$
(24)

$$K_{mix} = \frac{16}{5\pi} \frac{10}{9} \rho^2 \sum_{i=j}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{x_i x_j m_i m_j}{\left(m_i + m_j\right)^2} \overline{\chi}_{ij} \gamma_{ij}^2 \lambda_{ij}^0$$
(25)

$$\lambda(\text{int}) = \sum_{i=j}^{N} \left[\frac{\lambda_i^0 - \lambda_{ij}^0(\text{mon})}{\overline{\chi}_{ii}} \right] \left[1 + \frac{x_j \lambda_i^0(\text{mon}) \overline{\chi}_{ij} A_{ii}^*}{x_j \lambda_i^0(\text{mon}) \overline{\chi}_{ii} A_{ij}^*} \right]^{-1} \quad (26)$$

Here ρ is the molar density of the fluid mixture, the quantities x_i and x_j are the mole fractions of species i and j and mi and mj are their molecular masses. A_{ij}^* and B_{ij}^* are weak functional of the intermolecular potential for the i-j interaction.

The quantity λ_i^0 displays the thermal conductivity of species i at zero density, and λ_i^0 (mon) is the translational contribution to the thermal conductivity of the same component. The symbol λ_{ij}^0 (mon) is the

interaction thermal conductivity for species i and j. The quantities $\lambda_i^0 (mon)$ and $\lambda_{ij}^0 (mon)$ can be obtained from the viscosity η_{ij}^0 of dilute pure gases and the interaction viscosity η_{ij}^0 according to the below equation

$$\lambda_{ij}^{0}(\text{mon}) = \frac{15k_{B}(m_{i} + m_{j})\eta_{ij}^{0}}{8m_{i}m_{j}}$$
(27)

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant. The parameter γ_{ij} displays the shortening of the mean-free- path for interactions of species i and j, and $\overline{\chi}_{ij}$ is the radial distribution function of species i and j.

We note that for the mixture thermal conductivity calculations, the pseudo-radial distribution function $\overline{\chi}_{ij}$ for species i and j in the mixture should be evaluated. It is obvious that this quantity has an important role to determine the viscosity and thermal conductivity.

Related to this problem, various approximate theories (*Moradi et al.* [5]; *Khordad* [8]; *Papari et al.* [9]; *Moradi et al.* [28]; *Perera et al.* [31]; *Degreve et al.* [36]), and computer simulations have been carried out in two and three dimensions. As we know, in the most of earlier papers, the authors have used the Carnahan-Starling result to determine the viscosity and thermal conductivity some systems. Here, from the numerical solution of the OZ equation one can obtain $c_{ij}(r)$ and $h_{ij}(r)$. Using the functions, we can obtain the radial distribution function.

From the numerical solution of the OZ equation one can obtain the radial distribution function and use in the thermal conductivity equations. To calculate the variables A_{ij}^* and B_{ij}^* , one can use the following relations (*Royal et al.* [37]; *Royal et al.* [38]).

$$\begin{split} &\ln A_{ij}^{*} = 0.1281 - 0.1108 \ln T^{*} + 0.0962 \left(\ln T^{*}\right)^{2} - \qquad (28) \\ &0.0271 \left(\ln T^{*}\right)^{3} + 0.0024 \left(\ln T^{*}\right)^{4} \\ &\ln B_{ij}^{*} = 1.1943596 - 0.13028165 \ln T^{*} + \qquad (29) \\ &0.019326273 \left(\ln T^{*}\right)^{2} - 0.03207664 \left(\ln T^{*}\right)^{3} - \\ &0.014070309 \left(\ln T^{*}\right)^{4} + 0.0015408879 \left(\ln T^{*}\right)^{5} \\ & \text{Where } T^{*} = k_{B}T/\epsilon_{ij} \text{ and} \end{split}$$

μ_{exp} is experimental dipole moment, and μ effective dipole moment).					
Compound	Chemical formula	ε/k _B T	σ (A°)	μ*	$\mu_{exp}(D)$
R23	CHF	146.2	4.034	1.988	1.63
R32	CH_2F_2	163.1	3.900	2.098	1.98
R22	CHCLF ₂	199.8	4.374	1.768	1.46
R143a	CH ₃ CF ₃	169.2	4.559	1.990	2.34
R152a	CHF ₂ CH ₃	186.1	4.458	2.022	2.26
R125	CF ₃ CHF ₂	166.7	4.727	1.979	1.56
R134a	CF ₃ CH ₂ F	174.9	4.632	2.084	2.06

Table 1: Molecular parameters for several refrigerant gases. (ε is the energy scale, σ is the length scale, μ_{exp} is experimental dipole moment, and μ^* effective dipole moment).

$$\gamma_{ij} = \left(\frac{\gamma_{ii}^{1/3} + \gamma_{jj}^{1/3}}{8}\right)^3$$
(30)

In Eq. (30), the parameter γ_{ii} can be determined from

$$\frac{\eta_{i}}{\eta_{i}^{(0)}\gamma_{ij}\rho^{*}} = 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\beta'}}$$
(31)

where $\eta_i^{(0)}$ is obtained from the experimental data.

RESULTS AND DISUSSION

There are few numerical results, theoretically, for the transport properties of polar fluid mixtures such as thermal conductivity. For this reason, we have selected refrigerant mixtures like R125+R134a, several R125+R32, R125+R152a, R134a+R32, etc. and tried to compute the thermal conductivity of these fluids by a numerical procedure. The main purpose of this work has been to solve numerically the OZ integral equation by using the HNC approximation and determine thermal conductivity of the mentioned particular fluids using the Vesovic-Wakeham (Dipippo et al. [32]; Sandler et al. [33]; Vesovic et al. [34]) procedure.

The pure substance parameters σ_{ii} , ϵ_{ii} , and μ_{ii} necessary in calculation of thermal conductivity were taken from Ref. 29. These parameters were listed in Table 1. In order to use the Stockmayer potential for the binary mixture systems and evaluate the thermal conductivity of them, we needed to know these parameters for mixtures. Therefore, we have employed Lorentz-Berthelot combining rule to determine σ_{ij} , ϵ_{ij} :

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{ii}\varepsilon_{jj}} \tag{32}$$

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ii} + \sigma_{jj}}{2} \tag{33}$$

In addition, the dipole moments of mixtures were computed with the help of the following mixing rule:

$$\mu_{\text{mix}}^{4} = \sigma_{\text{mix}}^{3} \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left(\frac{x_{i} x_{j} \mu_{i}^{2} \mu_{j}^{2}}{\sigma_{ij}^{2}} \right)$$
(34)

where μ_{mix} is dipole moment of mixture, $x_i(x_j)$ is the mole fraction of species i(j) and σ_{ij} is defined in Eq. (33). Also, σ_{mix} is the length scale of mixture which can be written as

$$\sigma_{\text{mix}}^3 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_i x_j \sigma_{ij}^3$$
(35)

Table 2 shows the thermal conductivity of the binary mixture R125+R134a for different temperatures calculated at equal mole fractions. The obtained results have been compared with experimental results (*Moghadasi et al.* [39]). It is seen from the table that the thermal conductivity increases when temper ature increases. We also see that the obtained results in this work are in fairly agreement with the experimental data. In Table 3, we have calculated the thermal

T (K)	$10^3 \lambda_{Th}(w/mK)$	$10^{3}\lambda^{ex}(w/mK)$	Error (%)
200	7.0461	7.829	10.000
250	9.855	10.950	10.000
273.15	11.272	12.525	10.004
300	12.991	14.435	10.003
373.15	17.973	19.970	10.000
473.15	25.195	27.995	10.002
523.15	28.562	32.092	11.000
673.15	39.704	44.115	10.000
723.15	42.692	47.968	11.001
873.15	52.935	58.816	10.001

Table 2: Temperature dependence of thermal conductivity of the binary mixture R125+R134a at equal mole fractions.Theoretical results (λ_{Th}) have been compared with the experimental data (λ^{ex}) (Moghadasi et al. [39]).

Table 3: Thermal conductivity of the binary mixture R125+R32 at different temperatures with equal mole fractions. Theoretical results (λ_{Th}) have been compared with the experimental data (λ^{ex}) (Moghadasi et al. [39]).

T (K)	$10^{3}\lambda_{Th}(w/mK)$	$10^3\lambda^{ex}(w/mK)$	Error (%)
200	7.738	8.694	10.996
250	10.533	11.703	9.997
273.15	11.829	13.291	11.000
300	13.696	15.218	10.001
373.15	18.610	20.910	11.000
473.15	26.491	29.435	10.002
523.15	30.088	33.807	11.001
673.15	41.595	46.217	10.001
723.15	44.178	49.638	11.000
873.15	49.674	55.813	10.999

conductivity of R125+R32 at different temperatures with equal mole fractions. We have compared our results with the available experimental data (*Moghadasi et al.* [39]). In Tables IV to VII, thermal conductivity of several refrigerant mixtures like R125+R152a, R134a+R32, R134a+R143a, and R152a+R32 has been calculated at various temperatures for equal mole fractions. The results have been compared with the available

experimental results (*Moghadasi et al.* [39]). It should be noted that we have reported the computational errors in all tables. According to the obtained results, it is deduced that the thermal conductivity for all the binary mixtures (see Tables 2 to 7) is increased with enhancing temperature. Also, the calculated errors show that the agreement between our calculations and the experimental results is fairly good.

T (K)	$10^{3}\lambda_{Th}(w/mK)$	$10^{3}\lambda^{ex}(w/mK)$	Error (%)	
200	7.289	8.190	11.001	
250	10.197	11.330	10.000	
273.15	11.555	12.983	10.999	
300	13.362	15.014	11.003	
373.15	18.864	20.960	10.000	
473.15	26.838	29.820	10.000	
523.15	30.571	34.349	10.999	
673.15	43.467	48.297	10.001	
723.15	47.677	52.975	10.001	
873.15	58.664	65.915	11.001	

Table 4: Thermal conductivity of the binary mixture R125+R152a at different temperatures with equal mole fractions.Theoretical results (λ_{Th}) have been compared with the experimental data (λ^{ex}) (Moghadasi et al. [39]).

Table 5: Thermal conductivity of the binary mixture R134a+R143a at different temperatures with equal mole fractions.Theoretical results (λ_{Th}) have been compared with the experimental data (λ^{ex}) (Moghadasi et al. [39]).

T (K)	$10^3 \lambda_{Th} (w/mK)$	$10^3\lambda^{ex}(w/mK)$	Error (%)
200	7.519	8.448	10.997
250	10.26	11.429	10.228
273.15	11.728	13.031	9.999
300	13.529	15.032	9.999
373.15	18.841	20.934	9.998
473.15	26.485	29.758	10.999
523.15	30.766	34.184	9.999
673.15	41.490	46.618	11.000
723.15	45.041	50.045	9.999
873.15	49.501	55.619	11.000

CONCLUSIONS

In the present work, we have first solved the OZ equation using the HNC closure relation for the Stockmayer potential. Next, we applied the Vesovic-Wakeham method to predict the thermal conductivity of several refrigerant mixtures. The pseudo-radial distribution functions needed for the thermal conductivity predictions were determined from the method on the solution of the OZ equation by using the HNC closure relation. We have showed that the thermal conductivity of the Stockmayer fluid can be obtained relatively from integral equations method using the HNC closure relation. Using the Stockmayer potential model, we have evaluated and reported thermal conductivity values for several refrigerant mixtures such as R125+R134a, R125+R32, R125+R152a, R134a+R32, R134a+R143a,

		-	-
T (K)	10 ³ λ _{Th} (w/mK)	$10^3\lambda^{ex}(w/mK)$	Error (%)
200	7.076	7.951	11.005
250	9.786	10.996	11.004
273.15	11.338	12.598	10.002
300	12.990	14.596	11.003
373.15	18.506	20.562	9.999
473.15	26.491	29.349	9.738
523.15	30.123	33.846	11.000
673.15	42.303	47.003	9.999
723.15	45.559	51.190	11.000
873.15	56.636	62.929	10.000

Table 6: Thermal conductivity of the binary mixture R134a+R32 at different temperatures with equal mole fractions.Theoretical results (λ_{Th}) have been compared with the experimental data (λ^{ex}) (Moghadasi et al. [39]).

Table 7: Thermal conductivity of the binary mixture R152a+R32 at different temperatures with equal mole fractions.Theoretical results (λ_{Th}) have been compared with the experimental data (λ^{ex}) (Moghadasi et al. [39]).

Т (К)	$10^{3}\lambda_{Th}(w/mK)$	$10^{3}\lambda^{ex}(w/mK)$	Error (%)
200	8.039	9.033	11.004
250	10.750	12.079	11.003
273.15	12.355	13.728	10.001
300	14.069	15.808	11.001
373.15	19.960	22.178	10.001
473.15	28.518	32.043	11.001
523.15	33.555	37.283	9.999
673.15	46.310	52.034	11.000
723.15	50.530	56.144	9.999
873.15	56.904	63.937	11.000

and R152a+R32. The results are in fairly agreement with recent available results [35]. As can be seen from the obtained results in the tables, there is some difference for thermal conductivity associated with this method. Related to this difference, two points should be clarified: i) including all terms in Eq. (18) is not possible and hence the thermal conductivity cannot obtain exactly. ii) There are different functions in Eq. (18) which have various ranges. Hence, at higher temperatures, these functions have different values.

In summary, it is deduced that the integral equation method is suitable to predict transport properties of polar fluids like thermal conductivity.

Received : Aug. 17, 2013 ; Accepted : Sep. 29, 2014

REFERENCES

- [1] Hansen J.P., MacDonald I.R., "Theory of Simple Liquids", Academic, London, (1986).
- [2] Gray C.G., Gubbins K. E., "Theory of Molecular Fluids", Oxford University, London, (1984).

- [3] Perera A., Patey G. N., The Solution of the Hypernetted-Chain and Percus-Yevick Approximations for Fluids of Hrd Spherocylinders, J. Chem. Phys. 89: 5861-5867 (1988).
- [4] Dijkstra M., Roij R.V., Entropy-Driven Demixing in Binary Hard-Core Mixtures: From hard Spherocylinders Towards Hard Spheres, *Phys. Rev. E*, 56:.5594-5601 (1997).
- [5] Moradi M., Khordad R., Direct cCorrelation Functions of Binary Mixtures of Hard Gaussian Oerlap Molecules, J. Chem. Phys. 125: 214504-214510 (2006).
- [6] Zhou X., Chen H., Iwamoto M., Orientational Order in Binary Mixtures of Hard Gaussian Overlap Molecules, J. Chem. Phys. 120:1832-1838 (2004).
- [7] Gay J.G., Berne B.J., Modification of the Overlap Potential to Mimic a Linear Site-Site Potential, *J. Chem. Phys.* 74: 3316-3322 (1981).
- [8] Khordad R., Viscosity of Lennard-Jones Fluid: Integral Equation Method, *Physica A* 387: 4519-4530 (2008).
- [9] Papari M. M., Khordad R., Akbari Z., Further Property of Lennard-Jones Fluid: Thermal Conductivity, *Physica A*, 388: 585-592 (2009).
- [10] Khordad R., Hosseini F., Papari M. M., Shear Viscosity of Stockmayer Fluid: Application of Integral Equations Method to Vesovic-Wakeham Scheme, Chem. Phys., 360: 123-131 (2009).
- [11] Blum L., Solution of a Model for the Solvent-Electrolyte Interactions in the Mean Spherical Approximation, J. Chem. Phys. **61**: 2129-2133 (1974).
- [12] Klapp S.H., Patey G.N., Integral Equation Theory for Dipolar Hard Sphere Fluids with Fluctuating Orientational Order, J. Chem. Phys. 112: 3832-3839 (2000).
- [13] Wei D., Patey G. N., Perera A., Orientational Order in Simple dDipolar Fluids: Density-Functional Theory and Absolute-Sability Cnditions, *Phys. Rev. E*, 47: 506-512 (1993).
- [14] Wertheim M.S., Exact Solution of the Mean Spherical Model for Fluids of Hard Spheres with Permanent Electric Dipole Moments, *J. Chem. Phys.* 55: 4291-4298 (1971).
- [15] Onsager L., Electric Moments of Molecules in Liquids, Am. J. Chem. Soc. 58: 1486-1493 (1936).
- [16] Henderson D., Boda D., Szalai I., The Mean Spherical Approximation for a Dipolar Yukawa Fluid, Chan K.Y., J. Chem. Phys. 110: 7348-7355 (1999).

- [17] Perera A., Patey G.N., Fluids of Dipolar Hard Ellipsoids: Structural Properties and Isotropic-Nematic Phase Transitions, J. Chem. Phys. 91: 3045-3050 (1989).
- [18] Rowlinson J. S., Swinton F. L., "Liquids and Liquid Mixtures", 3rd ed. Butterworth Scientific, London, (1982).
- [19] Allen M.P., Tildesley D.J., "Computer Simulation of Liquids", Oxford University, Press, Oxford, (1987).
- [20] Frenkel D.A., Smit B., "Understanding Molecular Simulations", Acasemic Press, (1996).
- [21] Sadus R., Molecular Simulation of Fluids. «Theory Algorithms and Object Orientation», Eleveier, (1999).
- [22] Alavi Fazel S.A., Jamialahmadi M., Safekordi A. K., Experimental Investigation in Pool Boiling Heat Transfer of Pure/Binary Mixtures and Heat Transfer Correlations, *Iran. J. Chem. Chem. Eng. (IJCCE)*, 27: 135-150 (2008).
- [23] Khorsand Movagar M.R., Rashidi F., Goharpey F., Mirzazadeh M., Aman E., Effect of Elasticity Parameter on Viscoelastic Fluid in Pipe Flow Using Extended Pom-Pom Model, *Iran. J. Chem. Chem. Eng. (IJCCE)*, **29**: 83-94 (2010).
- [24] Ziabasharhagh M., Mosallat F., Shahnazari M. R., Experimental Investigation of the Permeability and Inertial Effect on Fluid Flow through Homogeneous Porous Media, *Iran. J. Chem. Chem. Eng. (IJCCE)*, 27: 33-38 (2008).
- [25] Ghazanfari M.H., Rashtchian D., Kharrat R., Vossough S., Transport Property Estimation of Non-Uniform Porous Media, Iran. J. Chem. Chem. Eng. (IJCCE), 28: 28-42 (2009).
- [26] Fries P. H., Patey G. N., The Solution of the Hypernetted-Chain Approximation for Fluids of Nonspherical Particles. A General Method with Application to Dipolar Hard Spheres, J. Chem. Phys. 82: 429-436 (1985).
- [27] Caillol J.M., Weis J.J., Patey G.N., Molecular Theory of Orientationally Ordered Liquids: Exact Formal Epressions and the Aplication of Integral-Equation Methods with Results for Ferrofluids, *Phys. Rev. A*, 38: 4772-4780 (1988).
- [28] Moradi M., Khordad R., Binary Fluid Mixture of Hard Ellipses: Integral Equation and Weighted Density Functional Theory, *Physica A*, **384**: 187-198 (2007).

- [29] Gao G.T., Wang W., Zeng X.C., Gibbs Ensemble Simulation of HCFC/HFC Mixtures by Effective Stockmayer Potential, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* 158: 69-78 (1999).
- [30] Edmonds A.R., "Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics", Princeton U. P., Princeton: NJ, (1960).
- [31] Perera A., Kusalik P. G., Patey G. N., The Solution of the Hypernetted Chain and Percus–Yevick Approximations for Fluids of Hard Nonspherical Particles. Results for Hard Ellipsoids of Revolution, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 87:1295-1302 (1987).
- [32] Dipippo R., Dorfman J.R., Kestin J., Khalifa H.E., Mason E. A., Composition Dependence of the Viscosity of Dense Gas Mixtures, *Physica A*, 86: 205-223 (1977).
- [33] Sandler S. I., Fiszdon J. K., On the Viscosity and Thermal Conductivity of Dense Gases, *Physica A*, 95: 602-608 (1979).
- [34] Vesovic V., Wakeham W. A., The Transport Properties of Ethane. II. Thermal Conductivity, *High Temp. High Press.*, 23: 179-192 (1991).
- [35] Mason E. A., Khalifa H. E., Kestin J., Dipippo R., Dorfman J. R., Composition Dependence of the Thermal Conductivity of Dense Gas Mixtures, *Physica A*, **91**: 377-392 (1978).
- [36] Degreve L., Henderson D., Monte Carlo Study of the Adsorption Layers of Hard Spheres Near Large Hard Spheres of Varying Radii, J. Chem. Phys. 100: 1606-1611 (1994).
- [37] Royal D.D., Vesovic V., Trusler J.P.M., Wakeham W.A., Predicting the Viscosity of Liquid Refrigerant Blends: Comparison with Experimental Data, *Int. J. Refrigeration*, 28: 311-319 (2005).
- [38] Royal D.D., Vesovic V., Trusler J.P.M., Wakeham W.A., Prediction of the Viscosity of Dense Fluid Mixtures, *Mol. Phys.*, **101**: 339-352 (2003).
- [39] Moghadasi J., Aghaei D. M., Papari M. M., Predicting Gas Transport Coefficients of Alternative Refrigerant Mixtures, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 45: 9211-9223 (2006).