Application of Spherical Mesoporous Silica MCM-41 for Adsorption of Dibenzothiophene (A Sulfur Containing Compound) from Model Oil
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ABSTRACT: Spherical mesoporous silica MCM-41 was synthesized for adsorptive removal of sulfur compounds from fossil fuels using 1mM solution of dibenzothiophene (DBT) in dodecane as model oil. The prepared silica adsorbent has been characterized by nitrogen adsorption-desorption analysis as well as Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS), and transmission and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) methods. Results showed that the prepared mesoporous adsorbent has ordered pore structures with surface area of 1106 m$^2$/g and mean pore diameter of 3.54 nm. SEM micrographs indicated that prepared mesoporous silica (MCM-41) has spherical morphology with the narrow size distribution in the range of 200-300 nm. Hexagonal structure of pores has also been confirmed by high resolution transmission electron microscopy and SAXS pattern. High performance liquid chromatography analysis has also been utilized to study the kinetics of the DBT adsorption from dodecane solution by means of the synthesized silica. Results showed that 0.03 g/mL of mesoporous silica has capability to adsorb more than 42% of DBT (a sulfur containing compound) from dodecane solution. The improvement of mass transfer via adsorption DBT by the prepared nanosorbent is an efficient method for enhancement of biodesulfurization kinetic.
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INTRODUCTION

Energy consumption of world has been tremendously increased due to growth of industrial activities; however, limited reservoirs of fossil fuels in addition to enormous extraction of them make their quality decrease [1]. Presence of undesired contaminants such as sulfur, nitrogen and heavy metals in remained reservoirs of fossil fuels reduces both the viscosity and molecular weight enrichment of crude oil resulting in diminished fuel ignition index. Sulfur content is one of the most important obstacles causing damage of crude oil refinery systems and environmental pollution. Sulfur oxides in combination with water vapor can cause corrosive wear and premature engine failure [1,2]. Sulfur reduces catalyst efficiency in modern vehicles.
and vehicles operating with higher sulfur gasoline have higher emissions than vehicles operating on lower sulfur gasoline. Frankly, sulfur is the third element of heavy oil after carbon and hydrogen with concentration more than 14% whereas crude oil contains 0.05-5% sulfur (organosulfur) depending on the source of crude oil [3-5].

In this regard, regulations are now put in place by states, regional and international agencies. According to Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) amount of sulfur in diesel oil should not be above 15 mg/L. By the same regulations no more than 15% of aromatic compounds are allowed in diesel oils [6-10].

Among the various methods applied for removal of sulfur, hydrodesulfurization is conventionally applied more than other techniques. However, this method requires high pressure which is either economically undesirable or makes fuel properties decay through degradation of special compounds. In addition, about 70% aromatic sulfur compounds such as 4- and 4, 6- alkyl substituted dibenzothiophene and also heterocyclic polyaromatic sulfur compounds could not be completely removed by this method. There are many attempts to find new benign and mild methods for sulfur removal beside to greenhouse emission reduction [3, 11-16].

Biological desulfurization is a new microbial method, capable of selective desulfurization without the fuel properties loss [1, 2, 16]. Biological oxidation of sulfur to sulfate takes place through a 4 step continuous process where dibenzothiophene (DBT) will change to 2-hydroxy biphenyl [17-20]. However, kinetics of microbial desulfurization is slow and its activity is affected by mass transfer rate of reactants us from organic phase to aqueous phase and then onto the surface of living cells [21-25]. The key point should be application of surfactants and/or nanoadsorbents to overcome mass transfer problem [26, 27]. So, the mass transfer and steric effect can be eliminated by stable, recoverable high surface area adsorbents which it is possible to control both their pore size and shape [28].

Mesoporous silica is a suitable choice for the above application because of its large surface area, structure stability and existence of a great number of ordered pores. The pore size distribution of mesoporous silica compounds are in the range of 2-50 nm making it suitable for such applications like drug releasing adsorption, catalysis, and waste treatment. The compound is an ideal choice as a host for adsorption of guest molecules with different shape, size and properties e.g. sulfur [10, 29-33].

Herein, the synthesis of mesoporous silica (MCM-41) and optimizing condition of DBT adsorption onto its surface from dodecane solution as model oil is determined by application of High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) analysis.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials**

- DBT (98%), 2-HBP (99%), n-dodecane, tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS 99%), CTAB, solvents and all other chemicals has been purchased from Merck and utilized without further purification.

**Synthesis of spherical mesoporous silica MCM-41**

MCM-41 nanoadsorbent has been synthesized at room temperature in according to the procedure introduced by Melendez-Ortiz et al. [31]. In a typical procedure 0.5 g of Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide (CTAB) was dissolved in 96mL of deionized water under mild stirring. After a clear solution has been obtained, 34ml of ethanol and 10mL of 25% ammonia solution have been added to the above transparent solution following by stirring for 5 min again. Finally, 2ml of TEOS was added to this mixture, and obtained solution stirred for 3 h at room temperature and solid product was filtered, washed and dried at room temperature for 24 hours. CTAB template was removed by heat treatment of dry powder in a furnace at 540°C for 9h [31].

**Characterization of nanosorbent**

The ordering and structure of the prepared silica’s pores were studied by Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) method applying PANalytical X’Pert MPD instrument operating at 40V and 40 mA with Cu Ka (λ=1.5406Å) as X-ray source. The morphology and microstructure of the sample were also investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Streoscan 360, Leica Cambridge) with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analysis was also carried out by Philips electron microscope (model CM 200) with 200KV field emission gun.

Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) pore size and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area measurement methods using N2 adsorption-desorption
isotherm have been performed by the Micromeritics Gimini III 2375 instrument. The sample was heated at 200 °C for 10 h before analysis in order to evacuate its pores before surface analysis.

**Adsorption of DBT from model oil**

Different amounts of MCM-41 nanosorbent was dispersed by sonication in 10 ml aliquots of dodecane for 10 min. Samples containing 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.35 and 0.5 g of adsorbent were deagglomerated by slight mixing with shaker at 30 °C for 4 h before HPLC analyses.

**HPLC Analysis**

HPLC analyses of DBT have been performed by Gradient HPLC instrument equipped with UV detector (λ=280 nm), thermo stated ODS-3 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm × 5 µm) and Eurochrom 2000 software. Flow rate of acetonitrile-water mixture solvents (ratio of 4:1) and column temperature has been set to 1mL/min and 25 °C, respectively.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Nanosorbent Characterization**

Fig. 1 shows SAXS pattern of the prepared MCM-41 sample. Peaks observed in 2θ = 2.52, 4.30, 4.94 and 6.47 are clearly in accordance with hexagonal structure of MCM-41.

**Table 1: Properties of the prepared MCM-41 samples.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall Thickness (nm)</th>
<th>Mean diameter of pores (nm)</th>
<th>Surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Total pore volume (cm³/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The low intensity peaks of (110), (200) and (210) observed in this figure related to two dimensional hexagonal structure of sample.

Surface analysis of samples using BJH model are shown in Fig. 2 which are in good agreement with type (IV) isotherms of IUPAC classification [34]. Mean pore diameter calculated by BJH models for the sample is 3.54 nm. Table 1 lists the surface area as well as other data obtained by the BET-BJH models.

Fig. 3 shows the SEM image of the mesoporous silica powders. This figure indicates homogeneous and spherical morphology of adsorbent powder. It is observed that particle sizes are in the range of 200-300 nm. TEM studies confirmed the same morphology reported for MCM-41 as well as its hexagonal meso-structure [31,34] (Fig. 4). Pore diameter and wall thickness obtained from the HRTEM image (inset of Fig. 4) are also depicted in this image. Results are in good agreement with data obtained by BET-BJH surface analysis.

**Adsorption properties of adsorbent from DBT- dodecane solution**

Fig. 5 shows the DBT concentration curve vs. time of infiltration with various amounts of dispersed adsorbent. Fig. 6 on the other hands illustrate the percent of DBT adsorption against mass of adsorbent in different times. Referring to these results, the adsorption rate is very high
Fig. 3: SEM image of the prepared MCM-41 samples.

Fig. 4: TEM image of the prepared MCM-41 samples (estimation of wall thickness and pore diameter).

Fig. 5: Adsorption of DBT with different amount of the prepared MCM-41 samples.

Fig. 6: Adsorption of DBT with different amount of the prepared MCM-41 samples at different time.

at first hour, but the whole process is completed after 3 h. According to adsorption experiments, 29, 37, 39, 42, 43 and 45 percent of DBT could be adsorbed after 3 h using 0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.3, 0.35 and 0.5 g of adsorbents. Results showed that saturation of DBT adsorption from the suspensions containing 0.05 and 0.1 g of adsorbent are occurred faster than other ones and their adsorption percent in the final solution have been determined to be 8% and 15%, respectively. Based on these data and considering the slope of curves, there is no direct relation between amount of adsorbent and that of adsorption rate. From the view of the kinetic and economic approach, the best results obtained while using 0.03 g/ml of adsorbent for 3 h. Considering Fig. 7, it seems that agglomeration of particles in higher amounts of adsorbent takes place which may consequently reduce the surface to volume ratio of the adsorbent resulting in lower efficiency of adsorption in comparison with that of theoretically predicted amount.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, spherical mesoporous silica MCM-41 was synthesized as an eco-friendly nanosorbent using a quaternary ammonium template, CTAB in basic water-ethanol mixture. It has been applied for adsorptive removal of sulfur compounds from fossil fuels using 1 mM solution of DBT in dodecane as model oil. This investigation shows that the synthesized MCM-41 mesoporous silica is an efficient adsorbent of DBT from model oil. The optimized condition determined in this research for DBT adsorption by the synthesized mesoporous silica (1 mM solution of DBT in dodecane as model oil) is 0.03 g/ml of adsorbent for 3 h of treatment.
Fig. 7: SEM image of agglomerated MCM-41 particles in high concentration suspension (0.05 g/ml).
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